

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119095
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF DROUGHT TOLERANT FENUGREEK MUTANTS AT SEEDLING STAGE THROUGH MULTI-TRAIT GENOTYPE-IDEOTYPE DISTANCE INDEX (MGIDI)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The aim of this study is to identify Fenugreek accessions for future improvement using the MGIDI method.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate. In the abstract section, the issues are missing. the abstract section is incomplete. Overall, the article is well written, but the material, method and discussion section should be improved by explaining the results obtained. he methodological part must be detailed</p> <p>No, the references are very old. Some suggested literature is: DOI: 10.32604/phyton.2022.017308 DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1147424 https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3187549/v1</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Minor revision	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikiema Dominique
Department, University & Country	University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Burkina Faso