

Efficacy of selected insecticides against diamond back moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.)

Abstract

The research trial was undertaken at Central Research Field, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. Experiment was laid in RBD with three replication consists of eight treatments viz, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (0.4 gm/lit), Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (0.5 ml/lit), Novaluron 10% EC (2 ml/lit), Spinosad 45% SC (0.5 ml/lit), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (1 gm/lit), Neem oil 1% (10 ml/lit), Karanj oil 2% (20 ml/lit) and untreated control. The mean larval population of diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*) on cabbage at 3rd, 7th, and 14th days after two sprays revealed that the treatment Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (2.63) observed the lowest population of diamond back moth followed by Spinosad 45%SC (2.96), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (3.02), Novaluron 10% EC (3.32), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (3.54), Neem oil 1% (3.70) and Karanj oil2% (3.85) as compared to control (5.45). Among the treatments studied, the best and most economical treatment was Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 %SC with 245.52 q/ha yield and cost benefit ratio (1:6.53), followed by Spinosad 45% SC 230.15 q/ha with (1:6.04) C:B ratio, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG 190.30 q/ha with (1:5.54) C:B ratio, Novaluron 10% EC 170.20 q/ha with (1:4.67) C:B ratio, *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15%WP 150.35 q/ha with (1:4.40) C:B ratio, Neem oil 1% 142 q/ha with (1:3.85) C:B ratio and Karanj oil 2% 135.45 q/ha with (1:3.68) C:B ratio and Control 65.20 q/ha (1: 1.97) C:B ratio.

Keywords: Biopesticides, *Brassica oleracea*, insecticides, efficacy, *Plutella xylostella*

Introduction

“Cabbage is one of the most popular cole vegetable crop grown in India. It is generally used fresh as boiled vegetables, cooked in curries and process, salad, etc. It is known to possess medicinal properties and its enlarged terminal buds is a rich source of Ca, P, Na, K, S, Vitamin A, Vitamin C and dietary fibre” (Nikam *et al.*, 2015). “100 gm of cabbage contains 25g of calories, 18mg of sodium, 170 gm of potassium, 6g of carbohydrate, 1.3 gm of protein, vitamin A 1%, vitamin C 59.7%, calcium 3.9%, iron 2%, vitamin B₆ 5%, mg 3%” (Kadam and Kumar 2022).

“India is the second largest producer of cabbage after China. India is producing about 8,755,000 million tonnes in an area of 388 ha with a productivity of 22.5 MT/ha. In Uttar Pradesh cabbage is grown in an area of about 0.72 million ha producing 5.8 million tonnes. China accounts for 33,881,51 tons of cabbage produced in the world”. (Devi and Tayde 2017).

“The brassica crop has a multiple insect pest complex. The important insect pest species are Diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella* L), Cabbage caterpillar (*Pieris brassicae* L), Tobacco caterpillar (*Spodoptera litura* Fabricius), Cabbage leaf Webber (*Crocodylomia binotalis* Zeller), Cabbage borer (*Hellula undalis* Fabricius) Cabbage semi-looper (*Thysanoplusia orichalcea* Fabricius) and (*Autographa nigrisigna* Walker)” (Gaddam *et al.*, 2021).

“The most important insect pest of cabbage crop is Diamondback moth (DBM) *Plutella xylostella* (Plutellidae: Lepidoptera), recorded from more than 128 countries of the world”. (Alexander *et al.*, 2018). “In India, diamondback moth has national importance on cabbage as it causes 60-80% annual loss in the marketable yield also reported that there is 52-55% loss in yield due to the attack of diamondback moth” (Bhagat *et al.*, 2019). “lots of damage is due to the construction of tunnels in the head as in cabbage and brussel sprouts. crop damage is usually first evident on plants growing on ridges in the crucifier field”[11,12,13]. “The most devastating pest that causes severe damage in cabbage production is the diamondback moth (DBM)” (Gautam *et al.*, 2018).

The use of a wide variety of insecticides from various chemical groups to control pests has increased in recent years. However, an over-reliance on these chemicals has resulted in resistance issues, environmental pollution, and consumer health risks. As a result, it is now crucial to use insecticides at the recommended dosages to minimize harm to the environment and population health. In recent years, many types of insecticides belonging to different chemical groups have been used to manage the pests and excessive reliance on these chemicals

~~has led to the problem of resistance, environmental pollution and health threat to the consumers thus it has become essential to use the insecticides in optimal dosage so as to reduce and control the damage to environment and human health.~~ Hence an investigation was undertaken to evaluate the performance of certain chemical insecticides and biopesticides at their recommended dosages against diamondback moth in cabbage.

Materials and methods

Field experiment was carried out at the Central Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, U.P. during rabi season 2023-2024. Trail was laid out in randomised block design consisting of eight treatments including control. Each treatment was replicated three times using variety Pride of India in a plot size of (2 m × 1 m) maintaining 30 cm borders as a bund with total gross area 176 m² along with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. The treatments used in experiment was, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (0.4 gm/lit), Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (0.5 ml/lit), Novaluron 10% EC (2 ml/lit), Spinosad 45% SC (0.5 ml/lit), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (1 gm/lit), Neem oil 1% (10 ml/lit), Karanj oil 2% (20 ml/lit) and Control. As the ETL 2-3 larvae per plant were crossed (Gaddam *et al.*, 2021) and application of the two rounds of insecticidal treatments was applied at 15 days interval.

The insect population was counted from randomly selected plants in every plot and population per 5 plants was noted. After that mean of three replications was calculated for each treatment and the same was done with the untreated plot. The population of *Plutella xylostella* was recorded 1 day before spraying and on 3rd day, 7th day and 14th day after insecticidal application. Healthy cabbage heads were harvested and their weight from each treatment was expressed as marketable yield in quintal per hectare. Ultimately, the cost benefit ratio was calculated on the basis of prevailing market price of yield, insecticides and spraying cost (**Devi and Tayde, 2017**).

“Gross return was calculated by multiplying total yield with the market price of the produce. Cost of cultivation and cost of treatment imposition deducted from the gross returns, to find out net returns and cost benefit ratio by following formula”. [4]

$$\text{B:C Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross Returns}}{\text{Total Cost}} \quad (\text{Gadhe and Tayde 2022})$$

Result and discussion

The results (Table-1) after 1st and 2nd spray revealed that all the treatments was significantly superior over the control. The data on the mean larval population of *P. xylostella* in cabbage at 3rd, 7th and 14th day after the first spray revealed that the chemical treatments was significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest larval population was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (3.08) followed by Spinosad 45% SC (3.28), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (3.41), Novaluron 10% EC (3.62), *Beauveria bassiana* 1.15% WP (3.93), Neem oil 1% (4.04), Karanj Oil 2% (4.15) and control (5.17).

The data on the mean larval of diamond back moth *P. xylostella* in cabbage after second spray revealed that the chemical treatments was significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest larval population was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (2.19) followed by Spinosad 45% SC (2.64), Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (2.62), Novaluron 10% EC (3.02), *Beauveria bassiana* (3.15), Neem oil 1% (3.73), Karanj Oil 2% (3.55) and control (5.73).

The highest yield and cost-benefit ratio was recorded in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC (245.51 q/ha), (1:6.53) followed by Spinosad 45% SC (230.15 q/ha), (1:6.04), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (190.30 q/ha) (1:5.54), Novaluron 10% EC (170.20 q/ha) (1:4.67), *Beauveria bassiana* (150.35 q/ha) (1:4.40), Neem oil 1% (142 q/ha) (1:3.85), Karanj oil 2% (122.45 q/ha) (1:3.68) Untreated (65.20 q/ha) (1:1.97).

The data on the mean larval population in Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC (3.08) and (2.19) these results was supported by **Gadhe and Tayde (2022)**. Spinosad 45% SC (3.28), (2.64) and Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (3.41) and (2.62) similar finding was observed by **Kadam and Kumar (2022)**.

The cost-benefit ratio ranged between 1:6.53 and 1:1.97. Maximum cost benefit ratio (1:6.53) and yield (245.51 q/ha) was obtained in Chlorantraniliprole treated plants, which is supported by the findings of **Sawant and Patil (2018)**. Followed by spinosad with cost benefit ratio (1:6.04) and yield (230.15 q/ha), the result was similar to the findings **Kumar and Kumar (2020)**. Emamectin benzoate also had a profitable yield of (190.30 q/ha) and cost benefit ratio

(1:5.54) and this results are in support of **Sawant and Patil (2018)**.

Conclusion

The study evaluated the performance of certain chemical insecticides and biopesticides at their recommended dosages against diamondback moth in cabbage. Different chemical groups have produced a variety of insecticides that are used to control pests. However, an over-reliance on these chemicals has resulted in resistance issues, environmental pollution, and consumer health risks. For these reasons, it is crucial to use insecticides at the right dosage to minimize harm to the environment and public health. Many types of insecticides belonging to different chemical groups have been used to manage the pests and excessive reliance on these chemicals has led to the problem of resistance, environmental pollution and health threat to the consumers thus it has become essential to use the insecticides in optimal dosage so as to reduce and control the damage to environment and human health.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Table 1. Evaluation of insecticides against diamond back moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) on cabbage during rabi 2023- 2024

S.NO	Treatment name	Mean larval population										Yield (q/ ha)	B:C ratio
		First spray					Second spray						
		1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN	1DBS	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	MEAN		
T ₀	Control	04.53	04.93 ^a	05.20 ^a	05.40 ^a	05.17 ^a	05.40 ^a	05.53 ^a	05.73 ^a	05.93 ^a	05.73 ^a	65.20	1:1.97
T ₁	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG @0.4 gm/lit	04.06	03.86 ^{bc}	03.06 ^{de}	03.33 ^d	03.41 ^{de}	03.33 ^d	03.13 ^e	02.66 ^e	02.13 ^d	02.64 ^d	190.30	1:5.54
T ₂	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @0.5 ml/lit	03.86	03.66 ^c	02.66 ^f	02.93 ^e	03.08 ^e	02.93 ^e	02.66 ^f	02.33 ^f	01.60 ^e	02.19 ^e	245.51	1:6.53
T ₃	Novaluron 10 EC @2ml/lit	04.20	04.00 ^{bc}	03.20 ^d	03.66 ^c	03.62 ^{cd}	03.66 ^c	03.46 ^d	02.93 ^{de}	02.67 ^c	03.02 ^{cd}	170.20	1:4.67
T ₄	Spinosad 45 SC @0.5 ml /lit	03.93	03.73 ^c	02.86 ^{ef}	03.26 ^d	03.28 ^{de}	03.26 ^d	03.06 ^e	02.66 ^e	02.20 ^d	02.64 ^d	230.15	1:6.04
T ₅	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1.15 % WP @1gm/lit	04.40	04.53 ^{ab}	03.46 ^c	03.80 ^{bc}	03.93 ^{bc}	03.80 ^{bc}	03.60 ^{cd}	03.06 ^{cd}	02.80 ^c	03.15 ^{bc}	150.35	1:4.40
T ₆	Neem Oil 1% @10 ml/lit	04.53	04.33 ^{abc}	03.73 ^b	04.06 ^b	04.04 ^{bc}	04.06 ^b	03.86 ^b	03.26 ^c	03.00 ^{bc}	03.37 ^{bc}	142.00	1:3.85
T ₇	Karanj oil 2% @20 ml/lit	04.46	04.73 ^a	03.73 ^b	04.00 ^b	04.15 ^b	04.00 ^b	03.80 ^{bc}	03.60 ^b	03.26 ^b	03.55 ^b	135.45	1:3.68
	F-TEST	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
	CD (5%)	-	0.703	0.263	0.285	0.426	0.265	0.263	0.290	0.423	0.428	-	-

DBS- Day Before Spraying, DAS- Day After Spraying, NS- Non- Significant, S- Significant

References

1. **Alexander K, Masih S, Verma OP, Tayde AR.** Studies on the bioefficacy of entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* against *Plutella xylostella* (Linn) infesting cabbage. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2018;6(5): 31-35.
2. **Bhagat P, Kerketta A, Yadu YK.** Evaluation of botanicals against diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linn.) on cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata L.*) crop. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2019;7(6): 484-488.
3. **Devi HD, Tayde AR.** Comparative Efficacy of Bio-Agents and Botanicals on the Management of Diamondback Moth (*Plutella xylostella* Linn.) on Cabbage under Allahabad Agroclimatic Conditions. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2017;6(7): 711-716.
4. **Gadhe KR, Tayde AR.** Efficacy of different insecticides and biopesticides against diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) on cabbage. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* , 2022;11(8): 2033-2035
5. **Gaddam NR, Srivastava VK, Tayde AR, Tripathi A.** Comparative efficacy of microbials and botanicals against diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella* Linn) on cabbage. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2021;9(1): 497-499.
6. **Gautam MP, Singh H, Kumar S, Kumar V, Singh G, Singh SN.** Diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linnaeus) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) a major insect of cabbage in India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2018;6(4): 1394- 1399.
7. **Kadam SP, Kumar A.** Comparative efficacy of certain chemicals and bio-pesticides against diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* (L.) on cabbage *Brassica oleracea* (L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 2022;11(7): 3396-3400
8. **Kumar AN, Kumar A.** Comparative efficacy of certain chemicals with biopesticides against diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) in cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* (L.). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2020;8(6): 1350-1353.
9. **Nikam TA, Chandele AG, Nikita SA.** Relative toxicity of some newer insecticides to diamondback moth, (*Plutella xylostella* Linn.). *The Ecoscan* 2015;7:105-108.
10. **Sawant CG, Patil CS.** Bio-efficacy of Newer Insecticides against Diamondback Moth (*Plutella xylostella* Linn.) in Cabbage at Farmers Field. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2018;7(7):2319-7706.

11. Dipak, Kumar A. Comparative Efficacy of Selected Insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on Cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) in Prayagraj, U.P., India. *J. Exp. Agric. Int.* [Internet]. 2024 May 14 [cited 2024 Jun. 2];46(6):570-4. Available from: <https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2510>

12. Rajashekhar M, Rajashekar B, Sathyanarayana E, Keerthi MC, Kumar PV, Ramakrishna K, Vanisree K, Neelima G, Madhuri G, Shaila O. Microbial Pesticides for Insect Pest Management: Success and Risk Analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change.* [Internet]. 2021 Jun. 3 [cited 2024 Jun. 2];11(4):18-32. Available from: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/419>

13. Bass C, Denholm I, Williamson MS, Nauen R. The global status of insect resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides. *Pesticide biochemistry and physiology.* 2015 Jun 1;121:78-87.