

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_118807
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of varieties and nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat crop under irrigated condition ( Triticum Aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajssp.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is of great interest to the scientific world. It contributes to improving wheat cultivation methods and techniques, especially in Sahelian zones.</p> <p>The abstract should be reviewed. An abstract should include an introduction, objective, material and methods, results and conclusion. In a summary, there should be an introductory sentence, which is not the case here; also, the objective of the study is not stated in the summary as it clearly was in the introduction; the methodology of the study and the results should be fully and succinctly stated.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, but sub-sections need to be created for materials and methods: 1. experimental site; 2. selection of varieties and experimentation; 3. data analysis. A conflict of interest heading should be inserted</p> <p>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct, if including the observations formulated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Drissa Konate
Department, University & Country	University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies of Bamako (USTTB), Mali