

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_118338</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Soil Health and Fertility Management for Sustainable Agriculture: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajssp.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Enhancing Soil Health and Fertility Management for Sustainable Agriculture: A Review</p> <p><b>Overall comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The language of the manuscript should be improved, there are several minor grammatical mistakes. The complete manuscript is meaningless.</li> <li>2. There are no references cited in the paper, what is the meaning of review if we are not citing and discussing the previous work related to our study? This is just a chapter with random sentences.</li> <li>3. In conclusion, this paper needs major revision before it can be considered. Hope the below comments help the author for further improvement of the paper.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract should be more concise. There is too much data given in the abstract part. Please make it short within 150-200 words.</li> <li>2. Why is there a conclusion in the abstract? Please rewrite the abstract carefully and use words cautiously.</li> <li>3. The keyword should be less than 5.</li> </ol> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Rewrite the sentence "This review article embarks on a comprehensive exploration of the pivotal role soil health and fertility management practices play within the realm of sustainable agriculture." This type of sentence should be part of either the abstract or the last paragraph of the introduction.</li> <li>5. There is no storyline in the introduction. There is no connection between the paragraphs.</li> <li>6. In each paragraph author wrote what he wants to give in this review. There is no need to repletion the sentence "the review aims to elucidate", "This review article embarks", " this review article endeavors to underscore", "This review also delves into the realm of</li> </ol>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>innovative”.</p> <p>7. Rewrite the introduction properly.</p> <p>8. “it becomes evident that traditional wisdom must be married with innovative technologies and methodologies”. Please wisely use the sentence to quote something scientific.</p> <p>9. Repletion of the sentence “. From precision agriculture techniques leveraging GPS and remote sensing....” This particular sentence is also used in 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph of the abstract “Precision agriculture techniques leveraging GPS, remote sensing...”.</p> <p><b>Traditional Soil Management Practices:</b></p> <p>10. There is no need for such complicated words, sentences should be written in simple words “The rich tapestry of traditional soil management practices embodies centuries of agricultural wisdom honed through observation, experimentation, and cultural adaptation.”</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b></p> <p>11. Please write a conclusion more precise manner. Make it short (Maximum one small paragraph).</p> <p><b>References:</b></p> <p>12. What are the references in the reference list, there is not a single reference in the text.</p> <p>13. References should be arranged in alphabetical order in the reference list</p> <p><b>Tables &amp; Figures:</b></p> <p>14. The table is too brief and short, an assessment of the soil fertility, quality, etc. could also be mentioned along with the methods. It will make the table look much better.</p> <p>15. There is no figure in the manuscript, kindly provide at least one figure.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suphiya Khan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banasthali University, India</b>