

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118296
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of plasma fibrinogen level, platelet count & lipid profile as predictors of cardiovascular disease among Bangladeshi healthy male smokers
Type of the Article	Original Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The study benefits the community by clarifying the complex connections between smoking, coagulation parameters, and lipid profiles. This research provides valuable insights that can guide public health campaigns, therapeutic procedures, and policy decisions to reduce the harmful health effects of smoking.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>3. Overall, the abstract is well-crafted and effectively communicates the scope and significance of the study.</p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b>, the provided subsections and structure in the abstract are appropriate. They effectively summarize the study and provide a clear overview.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct based on the information provided, a comprehensive overview of the effects of smoking on various organ systems, focusing on the respiratory and cardiovascular systems. It discusses how smoking affects hemostasis, platelet activation, coagulation, and lipid profiles, aligning with established scientific knowledge about the impact of smoking on health.</p> <p>6. Yes they are the references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear, precise, and adheres to the conventions of academic writing, ensuring that the content is easily understandable and professionally presented.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abdul Majid Siddiqui</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Career Institute of Medical Sciences &amp; Hospital, India</b>