

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_118907</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring the Impact of School Leadership on Professional Development in Panabo City Division, Philippines: A Mixed-Method Explanatory Research Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Journal</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes. It informed school leaders that effective leadership leaves an impact on overall quality of education. Effective leadership produce highly motivated and competent teachers through continuous professional development.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Abstract need elaboration on the importance of the research and its findings.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes. However further explanation is needed on how the author ensure ethics, credibility, and trustworthiness particularly for qualitative data. Author needs to explain how the qualitative data is analysed and what is the approach, technique or software being used as well as how the triangulation is done?</b></p> <p><b>Reference formats need to be checked. References are not alphabetically in order.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>Satisfactory level</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p><b>Proofread is recommended to improve overall concise and cohesiveness of the manuscripts</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saiful Azlan Othman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>IIUM, Malaysia</b>