

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118907
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of School Leadership on Professional Development in Panabo City Division, Philippines: A Mixed-Method Explanatory Research Study
Type of the Article	Empirical study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is not clear what exactly are you proposing as your model. Lack Figures ??? Totally unclear...What is the originality of what you propose? Comparison with the previous approaches? - The literature review needs to be improved and all papers used must be from the last 4 to 5 years and that too from well-indexed databases. It is necessary to add these papers namely: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Brahami, M., and Matta, N. (2019). The Relationship between the Knowledge Mapping and Open Innovation Process: The Case of Education System, Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing (AIEDAM), 33, 1-13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0890060419000325. Brahami, M., Semaoune, K., Benziane, A., & Touati, A. (2015). An approach of support innovation guided by knowledge capitalization: Application on FERTIAL, 4th International Conference on Leadership, Technology and Innovation Management, Journal of Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, Elsevier, 181, 197-206. Brahami, M., Sabri, M., Matta, N. (2022). The Impact of Business Intelligence and Knowledge Management on Sustainability Performance among Tourism Industry in Algeria, Indonesian Journal of Sustainability Accounting and Management, 6(1), 1-24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.28992/ijSAM.v6i1.550 - Are you developing a framework, model or approach? These words have to be used consistently throughout the manuscript. - What do you want to support with the questionnaire? Which model, which figure? - Authors are advised to discuss the results obtained after performance evaluation in elaborative manner. - What is the scope and sample description of your application? Unclear. - What are the practical and managerial implications of what you are proposing? This should be a separate strong section. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language used in the paper needs a lot of improvement; specifically in sentence formation and conjunctions.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Are you developing a framework, model or approach? These words have to be used consistently throughout the manuscript. - What do you want to support with the questionnaire? Which model, which figure? Unclear.....Where is the questionnaire? - Authors are advised to discuss the results obtained after performance evaluation in elaborative manner. - What is the scope and sample description of your application? Unclear. - What are the practical and managerial implications of what you are proposing? This should be a separate strong section. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brahmi Menaouer
Department, University & Country	National Polytechnic School of Oran, Algeria