

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_118580
Title of the Manuscript:	Experiences of Technology and Livelihood Education Teachers in the Division of Davao Del Norte: A Phenomenological Study
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Yes, The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this research article presents a comprehensive examination of the topic. The authors demonstrate a clear understanding of the subject matter and effectively communicate their findings. The methodology is well-designed, allowing for rigorous analysis and interpretation of data.</p> <p>One notable strength of this article is its thorough literature review, which provides valuable context for the study and demonstrates the authors' familiarity with existing research in the field. Additionally, the results section is presented in a clear and organized manner, facilitating understanding of the key findings.</p> <p>However, there are a few areas where the article could be strengthened. Firstly, the discussion section could benefit from further exploration of the implications of the findings and their potential significance for the field. Additionally, while the writing is generally clear and concise, there are some instances where clarification or elaboration would enhance comprehension.</p> <p>In terms of originality, it's important to address the issue of plagiarism. While the overall quality of the article is high, the presence of 14% plagiarism is concerning and must be addressed. I would recommend a thorough review of the sources and proper citation of any borrowed material to ensure academic integrity.</p> <p>In conclusion, this research article makes a valuable contribution to the literature offering insights that will be of interest to researchers and practitioners alike. With some revisions to address the identified areas for improvement, it has the potential to become an even stronger piece of scholarship.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rubina Syed Usman Ghani
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan