

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_118006
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Okra Leaf ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) Extract for Removal of Egg Adhesiveness during Artificial Propagation of African Catfish ( <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> , Burchel 1822).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b>, as the artificial production of African catfish larvae are challenges, particularly concerning the low hatching and survival rates of their eggs. The eggs tend to become adhesive and clump together when released into the water, resulting in reduced fertilization and hatching rates. Many research are going on in concerning topic and some solution for related problems are used which are species specific and can affect the egg differently. Such research based on natural resource is required to improve fertilization and hatching rate which will be beneficiary for aqua- culture/ fish farming industries.</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b>, it is brief and informative.</p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b>, Abstract of article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b>, well designed and displayed.</p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b>, it is correct and useful.</p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b>, it is appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is simply documented and appropriate for communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Manuscript is vibrant and modest. Each section are well documented. Method used are well designed and displayed. Results/ finding are clearly indicated. Overall manuscript are informative and advantageous for aqua- culture and fish farming areas.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nisha Dhoundiyal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government Degree College, India</b>