

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJAEES_117688
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of factors responsible for shifts in cropping pattern
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <i>It is somehow relevant.</i></p> <p>2. <i>The title is suitable. "Determination of different factors affecting shifts in cropping pattern"</i></p> <p>3. <i>It is comprehensive but should have at least introduced what is cropping pattern and why it is important in the agricultural sector so that non-technical readers can understand the subject matter.</i></p> <p>4. <i>There are lots of grammatical errors that need to be addressed especially those long sentences that were a little bit confusing. You can also add figure (bar graph or pie graph to easily see which factor really cause the shifts in the cropping pattern).</i></p> <p>5. <i>I just don't get how the author computed the percentages and the average percentages of the factors. The author could have at least discussed how these values were computed.</i></p> <p>6. <i>I think the references were sufficient and relevant. The author could have at least check other articles from other agricultural countries and make a comparison of the factors causing shifts in the cropping pattern for the said countries.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. <i>As I have said from previous comments, the author should check some grammatical errors and sentence structures. Some of the sentences are too simple and incoherent with the flow of the discussion.</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeffrey A. Gonzales
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, University of the Philippines Los Banos, Philippines