

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_119094</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Character Association and Path Analysis for Yield and its attributing traits in Urdbean Genotypes and its Triple Test Cross F1 Hybrids.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>good</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Yes, but it requires Serious Major revision: and short.</p> <p>Yes, but require uniform and some Major revision and major correction and short list of refs. After reviewing the manuscript, it is suggested revision as illustrated: 1. The Materials &amp; Methods need major revision. 2. Some gramical mistakes in paper please correct. 3. Please used standard units The Reference section should be shortly and revised as per proper uniform style and format of the Journal</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Good but author use cut and pest his work of thesis it improves and lengthy papers his. work.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>After Major revision: correction I recommendation paper published</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ratan Lal Solanki
Department, University & Country	MPUAT, India