

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_118991
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of aging on the microleakage in Class-V composite restorations: an in-vitro study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I have properly read and revised the manuscript and i have the following comments :</p> <p>First : the title is not meaningful. The phrase "The effect of aging" :means the effect of aging of the restoration itself i.e, something that has to do with the longevity of the restoration and the years of clinical service. However, i found that the author was studying the effect of the patient's age i.e, the effect of the age group. Thus, the proper title should be as such:The effect of the age group of patients on..... It is very important to correct the title.</p> <p>Second : The issue of selective Etching have been, recently, described by most authors and clinicians as being "clinically unacceptable" for its difficulty to apply "clinically". So it is always a total etch technique that is recommended.</p> <p>Third: I find the results of this study regarding the self etch techniques, questionable. This is because the self etch techniques, in most clinical situations, provide excellent sealing properties. This is due to the fact that self etch adhesives undergo SIMULTANEOUS demineralization and monomer diffusion.</p> <p>Fourth: The devices used in a study should be described in details including the brand, the manufacturing date, the country..... etc.</p> <p>Fifth : There should be a table of the materials used in this study including, their components, batch numbers and manufacturers.</p> <p>Sixth : The exact statistical analytical tests and their purposes should be provided and explained. I fined this lacking in this study. Also, the statistical tables, in this study, lack description and important details.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hala M. Fares
Department, University & Country	Pharos University, Egypt