Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_118779**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Digital Transformation for Risk Mitigation and Compliance in Pharma Manufacturing**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the crucial aspects of digital transformation in the pharmaceutical industry. It provides insights into how digital technologies can improve risk management and compliance, which are critical for ensuring product quality and patient safety. 2. The title is suitable. However, for clarity and impact, a slight modification is suggested: "Leveraging Digital Transformation for Enhanced Risk Mitigation and Regulatory Compliance in Pharmaceutical Manufacturing." 3. The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from clearer segmentation of the main points. Consider breaking down the abstract into more concise statements for better readability. 4. The subsections and overall structure are appropriate and logically organized, facilitating easy navigation through the manuscript. 5. The manuscript is scientifically sound, presenting well-researched and referenced information on the topic. 6. The references are adequate and up-to-date, covering a broad spectrum of recent advancements and studies in the field. No additional references are necessary at this point.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language is generally suitable, but some sections could benefit from minor grammatical corrections and improved readability. Consider a thorough proofreading to enhance the overall quality.	
Optional/General comments	• Include a few practical case studies or real-world examples to illustrate the successful implementation of digital technologies in pharmaceutical manufacturing. • A summary table of the key digital technologies and their specific applications in risk management and compliance would add value to the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Arkar Htet**
Department, University & Country	**Mullion Façade Engineering School, Myanmar**