

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_118779
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Transformation for Risk Mitigation and Compliance in Pharma Manufacturing
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides comprehensive insights into the transformative potential of digital technologies in enhancing risk management, regulatory compliance, and operational efficiency in pharmaceutical manufacturing.</p> <p>Yes, "Digital Transformation for Risk Mitigation and Compliance in Pharma Manufacturing" is a suitable and effective title. It clearly conveys the focus of the manuscript on how digital technologies are being leveraged to enhance risk management and regulatory compliance within the pharmaceutical manufacturing industry</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the key points and scope of the research paper.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references provided are comprehensive, covering a wide range of topics relevant to the digital transformation of the pharmaceutical manufacturing industry.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality are suitable for scholarly communications as it's provide clarity, coherence, academic tone, technical accuracy, scientific validity and conciseness.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Chandna
Department, University & Country	R.P. Institute of Pharmacy, India