

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_118688
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater quality assessment with respect to Heavy metal content by using Heavy metal pollution index (HPI) of Moradabad district, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript presents new findings and insights that advance the understanding of groundwater quality and heavy metal pollution in the study area. The study can be replicated using the same methodology, and the findings can be built upon or compared with further research in the same or different study area. Also, the methodology meets scholarly standards.</p> <p>Yes. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes. It is quite comprehensive and succinctly captures the significance and findings of the study. Yes.</p> <p>Yes. The materials and methods used are appropriate for the study as they captured the details of the investigation; the data are well controlled and the researcher employed appropriate statistical analyses. The results are supported by the data collected and analyzed. Also, the discussion of the findings relate to existing literature.</p> <p>Yes. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is good; however, there are grammatical and typographical errors that need correction to improve the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is interesting and scholarly.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obi, Rita Nkemjika
Department, University & Country	Nwafor Orizu College of Education, Nigeria