

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_118883</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Risk Factors affecting Life Expectancy resulting in Premature Mortalities and the Accompanying Economic Losses</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses critical risk factors affecting life expectancy, highlights premature mortalities, and underscores the significant economic losses associated with these issues.</p> <p>2-Yes, the title "Risk Factors Affecting Life Expectancy Resulting in Premature Mortalities and the Accompanying Economic Losses" is suitable. It clearly conveys the focus on risk factors, life expectancy, premature mortalities, and economic implications</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive if it succinctly summarizes the key points, including the primary risk factors affecting life expectancy, the resulting premature mortalities, and the economic losses. It should provide an overview of the methodology, significant findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>4- yes</p> <p>5-yes</p> <p>6-yes but more recent could be added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article should meet the standards expected for scholarly communications. It should be clear, precise, and free from grammatical and syntactical errors to ensure effective communication of ideas and findings to the scientific community. Hence need revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>none</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ghizal Fatima
Department, University & Country	Era University, India