

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_118407
Title of the Manuscript:	SENSOR ASSISTED INTELLIGENT PLATFORM FOR ENHANCING BANANA CROP YIELD
Type of the Article	Review article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, It is very important</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, there are appropriate</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, I do</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, there are sufficient</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is suitable</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>1. <b>In ABSTRACT:</b> It should be informed the short sentence fopr conclusion.</p> <p>2. <b>In Introduction.</b> It is better to put the figure 1, 2 and other figures in the narration, the same as the figure 3</p> <p>3. <b>In RESULT</b> It should be added for explanation: Each sensor equipment should be explained the data or the real field result All words of parameter should be changed to variables</p> <p>4. <b>The conclusion</b> should be matched with the main objective.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kukuh Setiawan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lampung, Indonesia</b>