

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_117070
Title of the Manuscript:	TRAVAILS OF COLLEGE TEACHERS HANDLING LANGUAGE RESEARCH COURSES IN THE NEW NORMAL SETTING: A PHENOMENOLOGY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript examines the challenges confronted by college teachers in navigating language research courses within the context of the new normal, presenting valuable insights for the scientific community. However, it could benefit from a deeper analysis of the broader implications of these challenges on pedagogical practices and student outcomes. 2. While the title "Challenges and Coping Mechanisms of College Teachers Handling Language Research Courses in the New Normal Setting" adequately encapsulates the main focus of the manuscript, it lacks specificity and could be enhanced to better reflect the unique contributions of the study. 3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methodologies, and findings. However, it could be more succinct and impactful in capturing the essence of the research, thereby enticing readers to delve deeper into the manuscript. 4. While the subsections and structure of the manuscript effectively organize the content, they could be further refined to enhance coherence and flow. Additionally, a more critical examination of the interrelationships between the various themes and subthemes could provide deeper insights into the complexities of the topic. 5. While the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound in its exploration of the challenges faced by college teachers in online language research instruction, there is room for improvement in terms of methodological rigor and data analysis. Providing more detailed descriptions of the research methodologies employed and offering robust interpretations of the findings would strengthen the scientific validity of the study. 6. While the references cited in the manuscript are relevant to the topic, there is a need for greater diversity and currency in the sources utilized. Incorporating recent studies and diverse perspectives would enrich the literature review and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, attention to minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings would enhance clarity and readability, thereby improving the overall impact of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript offers valuable insights into the challenges encountered by college teachers in navigating language research courses in the new normal setting. Addressing the identified areas for revision would strengthen the depth and impact of the study, contributing to the advancement of knowledge in the field of education.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peyman Valizadeh
Department, University & Country	Ilam University, Iran