

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJESS_118103
Title of the Manuscript:	Rohingya Refugee Education in Malaysia: An Analysis of Current Standards and Challenges
Type of the Article	Research paper based on a combination of primary qualitative and secondary data

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>That's a great point! It sounds like the article addresses a crucial topic concerning refugee education in certain countries, making it both interesting and relevant for readers who want to understand this issue better.</p> <p>The article is suitable Abstract is fine</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>While the number of participants and respondents is limited, the article remains intriguing, and the utilization of secondary data helps mitigate its weaknesses.</p> <p>Within the educational context, it is recommended that the author cite each paragraph, even if it includes a digraph. Additionally, I suggest the researcher include sentences discussing alternative frameworks and provide reasoning behind the selection of the adopted framework</p> <p>accept with very little change</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raed Awashreh
Department, University & Country	A'Sharqiyah University, Oman