

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJESS_118103
Title of the Manuscript:	Rohingya Refugee Education in Malaysia: An Analysis of Current Standards and Challenges
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This Manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract needs to be structured according to the guidelines of the journal. Aims, Study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusion. The sentence "Lack of opportunities for secondary level schooling (especially girls) to "avail" can this be changed? May be to access</p> <p>The paper is not structured according to the journal guidelines. The author should use the structure of Introduction, methods, results, discussions and conclusion. The context and literature review should be included in the introduction.</p> <p>The manuscript should be double spaced and should be between 3,000 – 6,000 words including everything. It should also not exceed 25 pages</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically sound however in the methods section, semi –structured interviews are not a fit for qualitative key informant interviews maybe a key-informant guide can be used.</p> <p>The statement where you indicated that more than 10,000 refugees were hosted by 48 developing countries should be verified or the countries listed. Because Uganda alone hosts more than 1.5 million refugees.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is of good quality however English is not my first language and I don't have authority to judge the quality.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is well written with good findings that will advance refugee protection.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olivia Nakisita
Department, University & Country	Makerere University School of Public Health, Uganda