

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_116261</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antisalmonella activity and modes of action of extracts, fractions and cerevisterol from Impatiens burtonii against multi-drug resistant Salmonella</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript addresses important content, as it presents a study of the antisalmonella activity and modes of action of extracts, fractions and cerevisterol from <i>Impatiens burtonii</i> against multidrug-resistant <i>Salmonella</i>.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate?</p> <p>yes</p> <p>3. Is the article's abstract complete? no, no p-value has been added to the results. please insert.</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes, but in the material and methods please in the topic 2.2.4.2. Time-kill assay, the formula is in image format, please add the formula and not the image. idem for 2.2.4.6. Evaluation of catalase activity in <i>S. Typhi</i> (ATCC6539). Improve Figure 2, it's too simple, make it more modern. Figure 3 is missing the number. Please</p> <p>5. Do you consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the bibliographical references sufficient and recent? If you have additional references, please mention them on the review form. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Welligton Conceicao da Silva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal do Para, Brazil</b>