

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_116261</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Antisalmonella activity and modes of action of extracts, fractions and cerevisterol from Impatiens burtonii against multi-drug resistant Salmonella</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes excellent topic as enteric fever is one of epidemic .</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>.. abstract was good but avoid abbreviation and elaborate liitle more about the methods and results.</b></p> <p>4. <b>subsections in methodology were more plz keep it minimum for article.</b></p> <p>5. <b>yes</b></p> <p>6 <b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Excellent topic.one thing subsections in methodology were extraordinary..plx make it reuced and place under one section ..otherwise methodology was good and explained only avoid subsections .</p> <p>Yes but made some technical correction as space in words etc,</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Highlighted red should be corrected ..</p> <p>Avoid abbreviation in abstract portion.</p> <p>Introduction section the highlited neurological problems with reference be elaborated which neurological conditions described by author in article.</p> <p>Medicamnal plant highlighted by me if you can add such as or elaborate it in introduction part from referenced article already quoted will be best.</p> <p>In section 2.23 2<sup>nd</sup> line highlighted INT what does it mean?? Elaborate there too already shown in abbreviation section</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niqad Ahmad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NKBM Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan</b>