

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_118438
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Economic Analysis of GI Tagged Chilli and Hybrid Teja Chilli in the Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Economic Analysis of GI Tagged Chilli and Hybrid Teja Chili In Andhra Pradesh

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Introduction and objective . The research gap need to be included in the introduction. Put an in depth objective why there is a need to conduct this study. Its benefit or impact to groups/society/community etc.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Literature review needs to citations of recent studies of published papers</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Discussion consider to enhance the discussion</p>	
	<p>Recommendation provide in depth recommendations based on the findings of the study that will be beneficial to target beneficiaries and readers.</p>	
	<p>References it is suggested to cite published academic research papers</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jennifer G. Fronda
Department, University & Country	Philippines