

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JSRR_117790
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRACEUTICAL VALUE AND THERAPEUTIC APPLICATIONS OF BAEL, WOODAPPLE, AONLA, BER, AND KARONDA- A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the nutraceutical and therapeutic potential of underutilized fruits, which can inspire further research and application in natural and holistic health approaches.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable as it clearly indicates the focus on the nutraceutical value and therapeutic applications of specific fruits.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive, providing a good summary of the main focus, key bioactive compounds, and the health benefits of the fruits discussed</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. You should include the species name along with the authority (e.g., Linnaeus) at least once in the manuscript. Also, ensure that the species names are italicized throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>6. Yes, but all citations in the text should include "et al." if there is more than one author.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. All citations in the text should include "et al." if there is more than one author.</p> <p>2. You should include the species name along with the authority (e.g., Linnaeus) at least once in the manuscript. Also, ensure that the species names are italicized throughout the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faizul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, India