

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118712
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of different sesame genotypes against leaf webber and capsule borer, <i>Antigastra catalaunalis</i> Dup.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The word 'different' can be removed</p> <p>Yes. Need not mention the treatment number which is assigned for the researchers' reference</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Can include more</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Either mention 'entries' or 'genotypes'; Avoid repetition of the content Carry out the Duncans test and indicate it in the tables. Give footnote in each table</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Look for typographical errors, punctuations, and vocabulary. Try writing more reference results in discussion part Discuss the possible reasons for the obtained results, like morphology of the plant, biochemical components, etc.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shambhavi H Thyagaraj
Department, University & Country	Gandhi Krishi Vigyana Kendra, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India