

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_EJNFS_118737 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Intelligent films based on Lobeira fruit (Solanum Lycocarpum L.) starch for fresh chicken meat quality monitoring |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1, The manuscript is important for scientific community as it address the issue of packaging films that can be applicable to preserve and maintain quality of the chicken meat</p> <p>2, The title needs minor modification, scientific name for the used crop should be removed from the title. An author is advised to revise the title and rearrange as it can be coherent to the whole document.</p> <p>3, To make your Abstract part coherent and attractive for any scholars/ readers. Kindly, include some points from introduction, statement of the problem, objectives, materials, methodology, results, conclusion and recommendation</p> <p>4, The contents of the manuscripts are not well illustrated</p> <p>5, yes</p> <p>6, The listed references are sufficient but, I have found old reference of 2002 years . please, use the recent references</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Need further edition | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The content and structure of this paper is relatively fair, and the author has done some preparation on this topic. The contents of the study background, methods, results, discussions and conclusions are not adequately elaborated. Therefore,, there are some contents that need to be modified and clearly illustrated in the paper. The author is advised to make some revisions and improvement to the manuscript accordingly. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Milkesa Feyera Tujoo |
| Department, University & Country | Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia |