

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_119123
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of COVID-19 on adolescent uptake of family planning services
Type of the Article	Journal

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is very important to add insight and knowledge because this research is about the results of practicum and can be used as a foundation for future learning</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable and very good because it makes it easier for researchers in the elaboration of material and the discovery of research results</p> <p>3. Yes, because in the abstract there is already a background, goals and methods and results of the research conducted and have included keywords that are in accordance with the research, but maybe in the abstract keyword section can be added 2 more keywords</p> <p>4. Starting from abstracts to results that have been related to each other and the discussion of samples is in accordance with the purpose of the study and in the selection of samples from the population has been very good and can get good research results</p> <p>5. What I found in this article for scientific truth is 85%, because it is in accordance with the research evidence of several previous researchers almost according to the results of the research in this article</p> <p>6. For reference, I think it is enough and adequate because it consists of several articles and books</p> <p>For this article, it is hoped that improvements will be made to the data collection method so that the results obtained are maximized</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is suitable because it makes it easy to unify languages from various countries	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I think the author and researcher in this case have been very good at compiling the results of the research report into an article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nursyahraeni Madika Rahman
Department, University & Country	Sipatokong Mambo University, Indonesia

Review Form 1.7