

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJFRN_117760
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Organoleptic Evaluation of culinary paste prepared using Malabar Tamarind (Garcinia Cambogia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article is useful for utilizing Malabar tamarind into a product that is more durable and beneficial for health. 2. Article title Organoleptic evaluation of culianry paste prepared using.....Sugget to omit "DEVELOPMENT" 3. The abstract is enough to state the importance of utilizing Malabar tamarind. However, it is still necessary to add information on the organoleptic methods used. At the end of the abstract it is necessary to quantitatively state the results of the organoleptic evaluation regarding the level of liking for this culinary paste. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. This manuscript conforms to the format structure of scientific writing, but still needs improvements regarding the content, especially the discussion. For scientific articles, standard quantitative data is very necessary. You can't use quantitative with one cup, one teaspoon. Please convert it into standard international units, for example Litres, Kg etc., including the procedure used, it must be measured for the process time in hours or minutes, etc. Photos that don't need to be a lot in the manuscript. Product photos may be displayed but photos of people cooking are not required. Data that has been presented in a table does not need to be displayed again in a graph 6. This manuscript only has 20% references in the last 5 years. Up to date references need to be added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>the quality of the English writing is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, what needs to be improved is that all data needs to be quantified in standard units. How to cite library sources must be consistent whether following Harvard or Vancouver style (numbered ref)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamrin
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia