

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_118961</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Acute ischemic event of bilateral total internal carotid artery</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Cerebrovascular disease and its main presentation as acute ischemic stroke represent an important burden of health with high prevalence. The presentation as bilateral total carotid internal artery involvement is a serious and uncommon event that need to be highlighted. In this context this manuscript adds relevant contribution for scientific and academic society.</li> <li>2. As the report focus on the images of the atypical presentation, I suggest: Images in Acute Ischemic Event of Bilateral Total Internal Carotid Artery and Review. Once the case description did not address any follow up of the patient, the progression after image investigation.</li> <li>3. A comprehensive abstract covers: <b>Introduction</b>, which outlines the research problem and its significance; <b>methodology</b>, describes the research design, participants and techniques used; <b>results</b>, summarizes the findings and their significance and <b>conclusion</b>, interprets the results and their implications. The abstract in its presented format is not comprehensive but could be improved by including the aim of the study, the study design, images main findings and conclusion.</li> <li>4. To improve subsections and structure I would suggest changing the heading Case Report to Case Presentation and Unpacking into sub-headings such as clinical findings, images study findings and interpretation, patient evolution and follow up, treatment and discussion.</li> <li>5. The manuscript case presentation section lacks patient evolution, treatment and follow up. Although the discussion section encompasses relevant aspects obtained from main image studies in this condition, discussion of other case series on this topic were not adequately addressed. The conclusion adequately summarizes how this report can be used to improve clinical diagnose and management of acute ischemic event of bilateral total internal carotid artery.</li> <li>6. <b>References are well distributed and the addition of:</b> Öge DD, Gültekin Zaim ÖB, Arsava EM, Topçuoğlu MA. Simultaneous bilateral internal carotid artery occlusion: a case report and review of literature. Turkish Journal of Cerebrovascular Diseases 2021; 27(1): 50-55. doi: 10.5505/tbdhd.2020.47704 <b>would improve the recent references list.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>1. Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The English quality is adequate for scholarly communications, clear and direct.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Authors should pay attention that: Figure 3: Brain magnetic resonance imaging in FLAIR axial sequence is not mentioned in the text.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Luciana Boavista Barros Heil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil</b>