

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Hematology Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AHRJ_119004</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Central Diabetes Insipidus – An uncommon presentation of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia: A Case Report and Literature Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes Discussion is too long and needs to be shortened.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Limit number of references to 10.</p> <p>Introduction paragraph 4 :first line: please omit the second report ( we report a case report)</p> <p>Introduction paragraph 4 line 3 a rare (add a ) Case Presentation, Patient information, first paragraph line 1 :comorbidity is better, Line 2 : complaint not complaints Line 3 : hematology unit of ... center ( name of your center) Line 4: bone marrow: bone marrow aspiration, dysmegakaryopoiesis with &lt; 5% blasts Line 5: replacewith: The patient has been attending regular outpatient follow-ups since he have remained asymptomatic.</p> <p>Treatment history and timeline of events: first paragraph line 3 there was a decrease in the total count. Second paragraph line 4: documented 5 kg unintentional weight loss Line 5 : leading to Third paragraph line 3 diabetes mellitus Diagnostic assessment after table 3 : ability to concentrate urine by.. Same paragraph line 5 a distinct lesion not lesions Line 6 : incorporating instead of synthesizing Therapeutic intervention 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph : oral desmopressin Discussion paragraph 6 instead of in our patient: our case Paragraph 10: bright spot on MRI, T1W sequence</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes but needs revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shady Nouri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iran</b>