

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_119004
Title of the Manuscript:	Central Diabetes Insipidus – An uncommon presentation of Acute Myeloid Leukaemia: A Case Report and Literature Review
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is necessary for hematologist and endocrinologist in order to detect CDI as early as possible. Medical students and doctors can learn experience form this article.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable to the content.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article contains full sections.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. This manuscript has scientific value.</p> <p>6. There are some recent references, however there are some references which are not enough information such as 6, 7 (not publised year, name of journals, page,...)</p> <p>The author should add images of myelogram, peripheral blood, and brain damage to make the article more complete</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Dac Duy Nghiem
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hue University, Viet Nam

Review Form 1.7