

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_119206
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Hygiene Practices in Early Childhood Development: A Review Study
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the critical topic of hygiene practices in early childhood development. This area is vital because early childhood is a formative period where good hygiene practices can have long-lasting impacts on health, cognitive development, and overall well-being. The review provides comprehensive insights into how hygiene affects early development and highlights the importance of integrating hygiene education into early childhood curricula. 2) The title "Impact of Hygiene Practices in Early Childhood Development: A Review Study" is clear and directly reflects the content of the manuscript. However, an alternative title that might be more engaging could be "The Crucial Role of Hygiene in Shaping Early Childhood Development: A Comprehensive Review." 3) The abstract provides a good overview of the manuscript, mentioning the importance of hygiene in early childhood development, its impact on the immune system, and the risks of poor hygiene. However, it could be improved by including more specific findings and conclusions from the review to give a clearer picture of the key points discussed in the paper. 4) The manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections, including the introduction, literature review, and conclusion. Each section logically follows the previous one, making the document easy to follow. However, the abstract and the keywords could be better formatted, and there should be a clearer separation between different subsections within the literature review for better readability. 5) The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, citing relevant studies and data to support its arguments. The review is thorough and presents a well-rounded discussion of the topic. However, ensuring that all statements are backed by references and possibly adding more recent studies could further strengthen the manuscript. 6) The references provided are relevant and cover a range of studies related to hygiene and early childhood development. However, some references are slightly outdated. Including more recent studies, particularly from the past five years, would enhance the manuscript's credibility and relevance. Here are a few suggested additional references: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "The effects of hand hygiene programs on preschool children's health: A systematic review" (Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 2021). https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/early-childhood-research-quarterly • "Hygiene practices and childhood development outcomes in low-income settings" (Early Child Development and Care, 2022) https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/gecd20/current 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the article is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are a few areas where the text could be polished for better readability and precision. Here are some suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure consistent use of tense throughout the manuscript. For example, "The immune system has an impact on how the brain develops and functions" could be revised to "The immune system impacts brain development and functioning." • Some sentences are lengthy and complex. Breaking them into shorter, clearer sentences could improve readability. For instance, "It supports the child's development of strength activity responsibility self-assurance and good performance in daily tasks" could be revised to "It supports the child's development of strength, activity, responsibility, self-assurance, and good performance in daily tasks." 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are minor grammatical errors and punctuation issues. For example, "Adams (2007) The United Nations Children's Fund (2008) states..." should be "Adams (2007) and The United Nations Children's Fund (2008) state..." • The use of articles and prepositions needs attention. For example, "Evidence suggests that maintaining good hygiene especially by washing your hands with soap at key moments such right before or right after using the loo..." should be "Evidence suggests that maintaining good hygiene, especially by washing hands with soap at key moments, such as right before or right after using the toilet..." 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Reviewer's comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the impact of hygiene practices in early childhood development, making a valuable contribution to the field. The thorough literature review and clear emphasis on the importance of hygiene education are commendable. <input type="checkbox"/> Consider adding a section that discusses future directions or implications of the findings. This could help in understanding how the research can be applied or expanded upon in future studies. <input type="checkbox"/> Including visual aids, such as charts or tables summarizing key points or statistics, might enhance the manuscript's readability and engagement. <input type="checkbox"/> Ensure all references are formatted consistently according to the journal's guidelines. This attention to detail can improve the overall presentation of the manuscript. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmah Dwi Sistiari
Department, University & Country	University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia