Review Article

A review on Agricultural Biotechnology Advances, Driving Productivity and Sustainability in India

Abstract

Agricultural biotechnology has revolutionized farming practices in India, significantly enhancing productivity, sustainability, and socio-economic well-being. This review analyzes key advancements such as genetically modified (GM) crops, gene editing technologies, and biofertilizers, highlighting their impact on crop yields, pest and disease resistance, climate resilience, and environmental sustainability. The adoption of GM crops, particularly Bt cotton, has resulted in substantial yield improvements, reduced pesticide use, and increased farmer incomes, as evidenced by various case studies across different regions. Gene editing technologies like CRISPR offer precise genetic modifications, promising further improvements in crop traits and resilience. Biofertilizers and biopesticides contribute to soil health and reduced chemical input use, promoting sustainable agricultural practices. The socio-economic benefits of biotechnology are evident in increased profitability for farmers, job creation in biotech sectors, and rural development through improved infrastructure and community-based initiatives. However, challenges such as public perception, regulatory hurdles, and the need for robust training and capacity building remain. Addressing these challenges through transparent communication, updated regulatory frameworks, and continued investment in research and development is essential for realizing the full potential of agricultural biotechnology. This review underscores the transformative impact of biotechnology on Indian agriculture, advocating for its integration into sustainable farming practices to ensure food security, environmental conservation, and economic growth. By leveraging biotechnological advancements, India can enhance agricultural productivity, mitigate climate change impacts, and foster rural prosperity, ultimately contributing to the overall development of the agricultural sector.

Keywords: *Biotechnology, GM crops, CRISPR, Pest-resistance, Soil health*

I. Introduction

A. Background on Agricultural Biotechnology

Agricultural biotechnology refers to the utilization of scientific techniques and tools to modify and improve plants, animals, and microorganisms. This field encompasses a wide range of practices, from traditional plant breeding to cutting-edge genetic engineering and genome editing technologies. The history of agricultural biotechnology can be traced back to ancient practices of selective breeding, where farmers selected plants with desirable traits for propagation. However, the modern era of agricultural biotechnology began in the late 20th century with the advent of recombinant DNA technology, which allowed scientists to cut and splice genes from different organisms, creating genetically modified organisms (GMOs) [1]. This marked a significant advancement, enabling the development of crops with specific traits such as pest resistance and herbicide tolerance.One of the first successful applications of genetic engineering in agriculture was the development of herbicide-resistant crops in the 1980s. These crops were engineered to withstand specific herbicides, allowing farmers to control weeds without harming the crops. This innovation not only simplified weed management but also reduced the need for tillage, contributing to soil conservation. Another notable advancement was the creation of Bt crops, which are genetically engineered to produce a protein from the bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis that is toxic to certain insect pests [2]. This has significantly reduced the reliance on chemical pesticides, benefiting both the environment and human health.CRISPR technology, which stands for Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats, represents another revolutionary development in agricultural biotechnology. CRISPR allows for precise editing of the genome, enabling the introduction, removal, or modification of specific genes with high accuracy. This technology has the potential to create crops with enhanced traits such as improved nutritional content, drought resistance, and disease resistance.Unlike traditional genetic modification, CRISPR offers a more targeted approach, reducing the likelihood of unintended effects and accelerating the breeding process.Molecular breeding techniques, including marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic selection, have also made significant contributions to agricultural biotechnology. MAS involves using molecular markers linked to desirable traits to select plants during breeding, thereby speeding up the development of new varieties. Genomic selection, on the other hand, uses genome-wide markers to predict the performance of breeding lines, further accelerating the breeding process and improving the efficiency of developing new crop varieties.These techniques have been particularly useful in developing crops with enhanced resistance to diseases and pests, improved yield, and better adaptability to adverse environmental conditions.Biofertilizers and biopesticides represent another important aspect of agricultural biotechnology. Biofertilizers consist of living microorganisms that enhance nutrient availability to plants, while biopesticides are derived from natural materials such as animals, plants, bacteria, and certain minerals. These products offer environmentally friendly alternatives to chemical fertilizers and pesticides, promoting sustainable agricultural practices[3]. For instance, the use of biofertilizers can improve soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen or solubilizing phosphorus, thus enhancing plant growth and yield.

B. Importance of Agriculture in India's Economy

Agriculture is a critical sector in India, underpinning the livelihoods of millions and contributing significantly to the national economy. In the fiscal year, agriculture accounted for approximately 18.8% of India's Gross Value Added (GVA), underscoring its pivotal role [4]. This sector not only provides essential food and raw materials but also generates employment for a substantial portion of the population, particularly in rural areas where alternative employment opportunities are limited.Approximately 58% of the Indian population is engaged in agriculture and allied activities, making it a crucial source of employment. The sector's ability to generate jobs is essential for socio-economic stability, especially in rural regions. Moreover, agriculture plays a vital role in ensuring food security for India's population, which exceeds 1.3 billion. By producing sufficient food to meet nutritional needs, agriculture helps mitigate food insecurity and reduces dependency on food imports. Advances in agricultural biotechnology, such as the development of high-yielding and pest-resistant crop varieties, are crucial in this context.Agriculture also drives rural development by providing income and supporting local economies. Investments in agricultural infrastructure, such as irrigation systems, storage facilities, and transportation networks, are vital for enhancing productivity and improving the quality of life in rural areas

[5]. These investments not only increase agricultural output but also facilitate the efficient distribution of produce, reducing post-harvest losses and ensuring that farmers receive better prices for their products.The agricultural sector stimulates economic growth by generating income, increasing consumption, and providing raw materials for various industries. A robust agricultural sector leads to increased economic activity in related industries such as food processing, retail, and transportation. This interconnectedness underscores the importance of agriculture as a driver of overall economic development. Furthermore, agriculture contributes to export earnings. India is a major exporter of agricultural products such as rice, spices, tea, and cotton, which contribute to foreign exchange earnings and improve the country's trade balance [6]. Enhancing the quality and yield of these products through biotechnology can make them more competitive in international markets.Despite its significance, the agricultural sector in India faces several challenges. Fragmented land holdings reduce the efficiency of farming operations and limit economies of scale. Many farmers lack access to modern agricultural technologies and financial resources, hindering their ability to adopt improved practices. Additionally, agriculture is highly vulnerable to climate change, which can lead to unpredictable weather patterns, affecting crop yields and food security[7]. Inadequate infrastructure, such as poor irrigation facilities, insufficient storage, and inefficient supply chains, further hampers agricultural productivity and market access.

C. Purpose and Scope of the Review

The primary purpose of this review is to comprehensively analyze advances in agricultural biotechnology and their impact on productivity and sustainability in India, serving as a resource for stakeholders, including policymakers, researchers, and farmers. Objectives include tracing the historical evolution of agricultural biotechnology, highlighting key milestones, and analyzing technological advancements such as genetic modification, gene editing, molecular breeding, and the development of biofertilizers and biopesticides. The review evaluates the impact of these technologies on agricultural productivity, including improvements in crop yields, pest and disease resistance, and climate resilience, and explores environmental implications on soil health, water use efficiency, biodiversity, and ecosystem balance. It also assesses socio-economic benefits for farmers, such as increased income, employment generation, and rural development. Additionally, the review discusses the regulatory landscape governing agricultural biotechnology in India, identifying policy support, challenges in regulatory compliance, and future policy recommendations. It highlights challenges and limitations associated with the adoption of agricultural biotechnology, including public perception, technical and scientific hurdles, and market access issues. The scope includes a detailed examination of these technologies with an emphasis on India, while making relevant global comparisons, and encompasses both quantitative and qualitative assessments of impacts on productivity, environmental sustainability, and socio-economic factors, aiming to inform future research, policy development, and practical applications of agricultural biotechnology in India.

II. Historical of Agricultural Biotechnology

A. Early Developments in Biotechnology

The roots of biotechnology trace back to ancient times when humans began manipulating biological systems for practical uses. This included the domestication of plants and animals around 10,000 years ago, where early farmers selectively bred plants for desirable traits such as higher yields and better taste, laying the foundation for modern plant breeding. The scientific basis for biotechnology emerged with discovery of the principles of heredity through his experiments with pea plants, establishing the laws of inheritance[8]. This groundwork in genetics paved the way for sophisticated techniques in breeding. Louis Pasteur's work on fermentation demonstrated the microbial basis of this process, leading to advancements in food and beverage production [9]. Similarly, discovery of penicillin in 1928 revolutionized medicine, showcasing the potential of microorganisms in biotechnology [10]. The mid-20th century brought the advent of molecular biology, marked by the discovery of DNA's structure, which laid the foundation for genetic engineering. The development of recombinant DNA technology in the 1970s enabled the creation of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), transforming agricultural biotechnology.

B. Evolution of Biotechnology in Agriculture

Biotechnology in agriculture has evolved significantly, driven by advances in genetics, molecular biology, and plant breeding techniques. Initially, traditional plant breeding methods were enhanced by Mendelian genetics, leading to the development of hybrid crops with higher yields and improved pest and disease resistance. The Green Revolution introduced high-yielding wheat and rice varieties, significantly increasing food production and averting famines in developing countries [11].The 1970s and 1980s saw the advent of genetic engineering, allowing direct manipulation of plant genomes. The first genetically engineered plants, including tobacco and petunia, were created in the early 1980s. The 1990s marked the commercialization of GM crops, starting with the Flavr Savr tomato engineered for longer shelf life followed by herbicide-resistant soybeans and insect-resistant Bt cotton, which quickly gained popularity due to their agronomic benefits. The development of CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology in the early 21st century further revolutionized agricultural biotechnology by enabling precise genome editing, enhancing traits such as nutritional content, pest resistance, and environmental stress tolerance.Modern plant breeding techniques like marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic selection have also accelerated the development of crop varieties by using molecular markers to identify and select desirable traits, improving the accuracy and efficiency of breeding processes. Tissue culture and micropropagation techniques have enabled the rapid multiplication of disease-free planting material and the conservation of genetic resources [12].

C. Milestones in Agricultural Biotechnology in India

India has a rich history of agricultural innovation and has been a pioneer in adopting agricultural biotechnology. A significant milestone was the establishment of the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, which played a crucial role during the Green Revolution by developing and disseminating high-yielding varieties of wheat and rice [13]. The adoption of Bt cotton in 2002 marked another major milestone, as it was the first GM crop commercialized in India. Bt cotton, engineered to resist the bollworm pest, led to higher yields, reduced pesticide use, and increased farmer profits, eventually covering over 90% of the cotton-growing area by 2018.Despite the success of Bt cotton, the commercialization of other GM crops like Bt brinjal and GM mustard has faced regulatory and public acceptance challenges. Bt brinjal has not been commercialized due to biosafety concerns and public opposition, while GM mustard has awaited approval since 2016.India has also made significant progress in other areas of biotechnology, promoting the use of biofertilizers and biopesticides to enhance soil fertility and reduce chemical input impacts. The National Project on Organic Farming, supports biofertilizer use and organic farming practices. Additionally, the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) has developed improved crop varieties through MAS and genomic selection, accelerating the breeding process and improving traits like yield and disease resistance [14].The establishment of the Department of Biotechnology (DBT) and institutions like the National Agri-Food Biotechnology Institute (NABI) and the Biotechnology Industry Research Assistance Council (BIRAC) has further strengthened India's capacity for agricultural biotechnology research and innovation. Recent research efforts include exploring CRISPR-Cas9 technology for crop improvement, aiming to develop varieties with enhanced traits like disease resistance and nutritional content.The regulatory framework for agricultural biotechnology in India is overseen by the Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC), ensuring the safety of GM crops through rigorous risk assessments, field trials, and public consultations. However, public perception and acceptance, regulatory hurdles, and delays in approval processes remain significant challenges. Addressing these requires effective communication, transparent regulatory processes, and robust biosafety assessments [15].

III. Key Advances in Agricultural Biotechnology

A. Genetic Modification of Crops

1. Genetically Modified (GM) Crops

Genetically modified (GM) crops represent a revolutionary development in agricultural biotechnology. These crops are engineered to possess specific traits that enhance their growth, yield, and resilience. The process involves inserting genes from different species into the genome of the target crop to confer new properties such as pest resistance, herbicide tolerance, or enhanced nutritional content [16]. The first GM crops were commercialized in the mid-1990s, and their adoption has since grown exponentially worldwide. The most widely grown GM crops include soybean, maize, cotton, and canola, engineered for traits like herbicide tolerance and insect resistance. Herbicide-tolerant crops, such as Roundup Ready soybean and maize, allow farmers to control weeds more effectively without harming the crops, leading to improved weed management practices and reduced tillage, which benefits soil health. Insect-resistant crops, such as Bt cotton and Bt maize, contain genes from Bacillus thuringiensis, producing proteins toxic to specific insect pests, reducing the need for chemical insecticides and protecting beneficial insect populations. Extensive research and regulatory scrutiny ensure the safety of GM crops for human consumption and the environment, with numerous studies confirming they are as safe as conventional counterparts and offer environmental benefits like reduced pesticide use and lower greenhouse gas emissions [17]. However, public perception and acceptance vary across regions due to cultural, ethical, and socio-economic factors.

2. Case Studies of GM Crops in India

India's experience with GM crops, particularly Bt cotton, provides valuable insights into the benefits and challenges associated with agricultural biotechnology. Introduced in 2002, Bt cotton contains genes from Bacillus thuringiensis that confer resistance to the bollworm, a major pest of cotton [18]. Bt cotton adoption has led to significant yield increases and reductions in pesticide use. Studies report yield gains of 30-60% for Bt cotton farmers compared to non-Bt cotton farmers, with a 40-70% reduction in insecticide applications [19]. These benefits have translated into increased profits and improved rural livelihoods, with smallholder farmers experiencing a 24% increase in cotton income. Despite the success of Bt cotton, the commercialization of other GM crops in India, such as Bt brinjal and GM mustard, has faced regulatory and public acceptance challenges due to concerns about biosafety and public opposition. Addressing these challenges requires transparent and science-based regulatory processes and effective communication with stakeholders to build trust in GM technology.

B. CRISPR and Gene Editing Technologies

1. Overview of CRISPR Technology

CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) technology is a revolutionary tool in genetic engineering that allows precise genome editing. The CRISPR-Cas9 system, adapted from a bacterial immune defense mechanism, uses a guide RNA to direct the Cas9 enzyme to a specific DNA sequence, making a cut that allows for the addition, removal, or modification of genetic material [20]. This technology offers advantages over traditional genetic modification techniques, including greater precision, efficiency, and versatility. CRISPR can develop crop varieties with enhanced traits, such as increased yield, improved nutritional content, resistance to pests and diseases, and tolerance to environmental stresses [21]. Unlike traditional GM crops that often involve the introduction of foreign genes, CRISPR allows the modification of a plant's existing genes, potentially reducing regulatory hurdles and increasing public acceptance.

2. Applications in Indian Agriculture

CRISPR technology holds significant promise for Indian agriculture, addressing challenges like food security, climate change, and sustainable farming. Indian researchers have used CRISPR to develop disease-resistant crop varieties, such as tomato plants resistant to the tomato yellow leaf curl virus and crops like rice and wheat with enhanced fungal disease resistance. CRISPR is also being used to improve the nutritional content of crops, such as biofortified rice with increased levels of essential nutrients like iron and zinc, addressing prevalent micronutrient deficiencies [22]. Additionally, CRISPR is employed to develop drought-tolerant and heat-resistant crop varieties, crucial for adapting to climate change and ensuring food security. The potential benefits of CRISPR in Indian agriculture are significant, but challenges include updating regulatory frameworks and increasing public awareness and acceptance through transparent communication and stakeholder engagement [23]. Investment in research and capacity building for scientists and farmers is essential to realize CRISPR's full potential in transforming Indian agriculture.

C. Molecular Breeding Techniques

1. Marker-Assisted Selection

Marker-assisted selection (MAS) is a molecular breeding technique that uses DNA markers linked to desirable traits to select plants during breeding, accelerating the process by identifying and selecting plants with the desired traits at an early stage [24]. MAS has been widely used to develop crop varieties with improved yield, resistance to diseases and pests, and tolerance to abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity. In India, MAS has been employed to develop several high-yielding and disease-resistant crop varieties, including rice varieties resistant to bacterial blight and blast, and wheat varieties resistant to rust diseases. MAS has also been applied to improve the nutritional content of crops, such as biofortified pearl millet with high levels of iron and zinc, addressing micronutrient deficiencies [25]. The success of MAS depends on well-characterized DNA markers and the integration of molecular breeding techniques with traditional breeding practices.

2. Genomic Selection

Genomic selection (GS) is a more advanced molecular breeding technique that uses genome-wide markers to predict the performance of breeding lines. Unlike MAS, which relies on a few markers linked to specific traits, GS uses high-density markers distributed across the genome to estimate breeding value. This allows more accurate selection of plants with complex traits controlled by multiple genes, such as yield, drought tolerance, and disease resistance. In India, GS has been applied to major crops like rice, wheat, and maize. Collaborative efforts with institutions like the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) have used GS to develop high-yielding rice varieties with improved resilience to environmental stresses [26]. The accuracy of genomic predictions depends on the quality of phenotypic and genotypic data, genetic architecture of traits, and training population size. Advances in genotyping technologies and statistical methods have improved GS accuracy and efficiency, making it a valuable tool for modern plant breeding.

D. Biofertilizers and Biopesticides

1. Development and Usage

Biofertilizers and biopesticides are essential components of sustainable agriculture, offering environmentally friendly alternatives to chemical fertilizers and pesticides. Biofertilizers consist of living microorganisms that enhance nutrient availability to plants, while biopesticides are derived from natural materials like plants, bacteria, and minerals, promoting soil health, reducing environmental pollution, and improving crop yields [27]. Biofertilizers like Rhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) are widely used in various cropping systems, enhancing nitrogen availability and phosphorus nutrition. Biopesticides like neem-based products and Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) formulations control a variety of pests effectively, reducing the need for chemical pesticides. Government initiatives like the National Project on Organic Farming support the use of biofertilizers and biopesticides, promoting their adoption among farmers [28].

2. Impact on Crop Yield and Soil Health

Biofertilizers and biopesticides have shown significant positive impacts on crop yield and soil health. Biofertilizers enhance nutrient availability and uptake, leading to improved plant growth and higher yields. Studies have shown that Rhizobium inoculants can increase nitrogen fixation and yield by 20-30%, while PSB improve phosphorus availability and crop yields [29]. Biopesticides contribute to sustainable pest management by reducing the need for chemical pesticides, minimizing environmental impact, and improving yields. The use of biocontrol agents like Trichoderma spp. enhances plant health and yield by suppressing soil-borne fungal diseases. The combined use of biofertilizers and biopesticides promotes soil health, improving soil fertility, structure, and microbial activity, contributing to a more sustainable and resilient agricultural system. However, challenges include limited awareness and knowledge among farmers, variability in product quality, and the need for effective extension services, requiring investment in research, capacity building, and quality standards [30].

IV. Impact on Agricultural Productivity

A. Yield Improvements

1. Case Studies and Data Analysis

The introduction of agricultural biotechnology has led to significant yield improvements across various crops. A notable example is the adoption of genetically modified (GM) Bt cotton in India. Bt cotton, which contains genes from Bacillus thuringiensis, produces proteins toxic to specific insect pests. Since its introduction in 2002, it has been widely adopted by Indian farmers. Numerous studies, have documented yield gains ranging from 30% to 60% for Bt cotton farmers compared to non-Bt cotton farmers. These yield improvements result from effective control of the bollworm pest, significantly reducing crop damage.

Further evidence of yield improvements comes from large-scale field trials and surveys. A study by analyzed data from over 1,500 cotton farmers in four major cotton-growing states in India, finding that Bt cotton adoption led to a 24% increase in cotton yields and a 50% increase in profits. Bt cotton farmers achieved higher yields and income, with reduced pesticide use contributing to overall economic benefits. Beyond cotton, other GM crops like GM maize have shown significant yield improvements. A meta-analysis reviewed 76 studies on GM maize, revealing an average yield increase of 25% compared to conventional maize.

2. Comparison with Traditional Farming Methods

Yield improvements observed with GM crops contrast sharply with traditional farming methods, which rely on conventional breeding and chemical inputs. Traditional methods often face limitations in addressing specific pest and disease pressures and achieving consistent yield gains. For example, conventional cotton varieties in India have historically suffered from high bollworm infestations, leading to significant yield losses and increased reliance on chemical pesticides. Bt cotton, by contrast, offers a more targeted and sustainable approach to pest management, reducing the need for chemical insecticides and minimizing crop losses, which directly improves yields and farm profitability. The reduction in pesticide use also has positive environmental implications, reducing the chemical load on ecosystems and promoting beneficial insect populations [31]. Similarly, GM maize with insect resistance and herbicide tolerance addresses challenges of pest infestations and weed competition, leading to higher and more stable yields, highlighting the potential of biotechnology to overcome key limitations of traditional farming and contribute to sustainable agricultural productivity.

B. Pest and Disease Resistance

1. Success Stories in India

The development and adoption of pest and disease-resistant crops have been crucial in enhancing agricultural productivity in India. Bt cotton, since its introduction, has effectively managed bollworm infestations, a major constraint on cotton production, leading to substantial yield gains and reduced pesticide use [32]. Another success story is the development of disease-resistant rice varieties. The Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) has developed several rice varieties resistant to major diseases like bacterial blight and blast. For example, the rice variety IR64, bred for resistance to bacterial blight through marker-assisted selection, shows significantly lower disease incidence and higher yields compared to susceptible varieties. Additionally, wheat, maize, and pulses have benefited from biotechnological interventions, with resistant varieties mitigating yield losses from diseases and pests, thus contributing to increased production [33].

2. Reduction in Pesticide Use

The adoption of pest-resistant crops has led to substantial reductions in pesticide use, benefiting both the environment and farmer health. Bt cotton, in particular, is associated with significant decreases in insecticide applications. Studies show that Bt cotton farmers use 40% to 70% less insecticide than non-Btcotton farmers. This reduction lowers production costs and reduces exposure to harmful chemicals for farmers and agricultural workers. Environmentally, lower pesticide use results in reduced chemical runoff into water bodies, decreased soil contamination, and lower risks to non-target organisms, including beneficial insects and wildlife. The success of Bt cotton in reducing pesticide use has spurred interest in developing other GM crops with similar pest resistance traits, such as Bt brinjal, which, although not yet commercialized in India, has shown effectiveness in controlling the fruit and shoot borer pest, potentially reducing the need for chemical insecticides in brinjal cultivation [34].

C. Climate Resilience

1. Drought-Resistant Crops

Climate change poses significant challenges to agricultural productivity, particularly in regions prone to drought and water scarcity. Developing drought-resistant crops through biotechnology is crucial for enhancing climate resilience in agriculture. These crops are engineered or bred to maintain productivity under water-limited conditions, reducing yield losses during drought episodes. In India, research has focused on developing drought-resistant varieties of staple crops like rice, wheat, and maize. For example, the drought-tolerant rice variety Sahbhagi Dhan, developed through marker-assisted selection, shows improved performance under drought conditions compared to traditional varieties [35]. Field trials and farmer adoption have demonstrated that Sahbhagi Dhan maintains higher yields and better grain quality under water stress. Another example is the development of drought-tolerant maize varieties through both conventional breeding and genetic engineering. The Water Efficient Maize for Africa (WEMA) project, in collaboration with Indian agricultural research institutions, has developed maize hybrids with enhanced drought tolerance, showing superior performance in yield and water use efficiency under drought conditions. These developments are essential for stabilizing production in regions affected by erratic rainfall and water scarcity, contributing to food security and rural livelihoods.

2. Flood-Tolerant Varieties

Flooding is another major climate-related challenge affecting agricultural productivity. Flood-tolerant crops are designed to withstand submergence and waterlogging, common problems in many rice-growing regions of India. The development of flood-tolerant rice varieties has significantly enhanced climate resilience. Swarna-Sub1, developed by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) in collaboration with Indian research institutions, carries the Sub1 gene, conferring tolerance to submergence for up to two weeks [36]. Field trials and farmer adoption have shown that Swarna-Sub1 significantly outperforms traditional rice varieties under flood conditions, with higher survival rates and better yields after submergence. This success has led to the development of additional flood-tolerant rice varieties, such as Samba Mahsuri-Sub1 and CR1009-Sub1, adopted in flood-prone regions of India, helping farmers mitigate flooding impacts and maintain production [37]. Efforts are also underway to develop flood-tolerant maize and other crops, enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems to flooding and waterlogging, ensuring stable food production under changing climatic conditions.

V. Sustainability and Environmental Impact

A. Soil Health and Fertility

1. Impact of Biotechnology on Soil Quality

Agricultural biotechnology has significantly impacted soil health and fertility, primarily through the adoption of genetically modified (GM) crops and biofertilizers. GM crops like Bt cotton and Bt maize, engineered to produce proteins toxic to specific pests, have reduced reliance on chemical pesticides, which benefits soil health by decreasing harmful chemical accumulation and preserving microbial diversity and structure [38]. Biofertilizers, including nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, and mycorrhizal fungi, sustainably enhance soil fertility by improving nutrient availability and uptake, reducing the need for chemical fertilizers that can degrade soil over time. For instance, Rhizobium inoculants in leguminous crops fix atmospheric nitrogen, enriching soil nitrogen levels without the environmental drawbacks of synthetic fertilizers. Additionally, genetically modified cover crops can improve soil health by preventing erosion, enhancing organic matter, and improving soil structure.

2. Long-Term Studies and Findings

Long-term studies on biotechnology's impact on soil quality show mixed results, necessitating careful management and monitoring. A meta-analysis found that GM crops, particularly Bt crops, generally increased soil microbial diversity and activity due to reduced pesticide applications. However, concerns remain about Bt toxins' persistence in soil and their potential effects on non-target organisms, though most studies indicate Bt toxins degrade quickly and do not accumulate to harmful levels [39]. The impact of herbicide-tolerant (HT) crops, particularly those tolerant to glyphosate, raises concerns about soil health. Studies suggest glyphosate can impact soil microbial communities and enzyme activities, although these effects are generally transient and depend on application rates and soil type. Long-term studies are essential to understand fully glyphosate's cumulative effects on soil ecosystems. Research on biofertilizers shows promising results, with long-term studies indicating they can sustainably improve soil fertility, enhance crop yields, and reduce reliance on chemical fertilizers.

B. Water Use Efficiency

1. Biotechnology Applications in Water Management

Biotechnology has provided innovative solutions to improve water use efficiency in agriculture, addressing increasing water scarcity. Developing drought-tolerant GM crops that maintain productivity under water-limited conditions is a primary approach. These crops express traits that enhance water uptake, reduce transpiration, and improve stress tolerance [40]. For example, GM maize varieties with enhanced drought tolerance improve root growth, water retention, and cellular stress responses, achieving higher yields under water-limited conditions compared to conventional varieties. Genetic modifications enhancing physiological traits such as stomatal conductance and photosynthetic efficiency also contribute to improved water use efficiency. GM rice varieties with modified stomatal density and behavior optimize water use while maintaining high photosynthetic rates and yields. Additionally, biotechnological advancements in soil management, such as using microbial inoculants like mycorrhizal fungi, improve water retention and availability in the soil, enhancing crop resilience to drought [41].

2. Case Studies of Improved Water Use

Several case studies demonstrate biotechnology's effectiveness in improving water use efficiency. The Water Efficient Maize for Africa (WEMA) project, a collaboration between African research institutions and multinational companies, introduced drought-tolerant maize hybrids in Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania, showing superior performance under drought conditions and contributing to increased maize production and food security in water-scarce regions [42]. In India, the adoption of drought-tolerant rice varieties like Sahbhagi Dhan, developed through marker-assisted selection, has made significant impacts. Field trials and farmer experiences show Sahbhagi Dhan maintains higher yields and better grain quality under water stress compared to traditional varieties. The use of mycorrhizal inoculants in horticultural crops like tomato, cucumber, and bell pepper significantly enhances water uptake and stress tolerance, improving yields and quality under water-limited conditions [43].

C. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Balance

1. Effects on Local Biodiversity

Agricultural biotechnology's impact on local biodiversity is complex and contentious. GM crops raise concerns about effects on non-target organisms, gene flow to wild relatives, and changes in agricultural practices impacting biodiversity. Studies on Bt crops, which produce insecticidal proteins, show they can reduce target pest populations with minimal effects on non-target organisms [44]. For example, Bt cotton effectively controls bollworm populations without adversely affecting natural predators and pollinators. However, the long-term ecological impacts of Bt crops on non-target organisms require ongoing monitoring and research. Gene flow from GM crops to wild relatives is another concern, particularly for crops with sexually compatible wild relatives. Studies show gene flow can occur, but its ecological impact is often limited by factors like reproductive barriers and environmental conditions. Effective containment strategies and regulatory measures are necessary to minimize gene flow's risk and potential impacts on biodiversity. Changes in agricultural practices associated with GM crop adoption can also affect local biodiversity. Reduced chemical pesticide use in Bt crop fields benefits non-target organisms and overall biodiversity, while increased herbicide use with herbicide-tolerant crops can affect plant diversity and associated ecosystems. Integrated weed management practices and using herbicide-tolerant crops as part of a diversified cropping system can help mitigate these impacts [45].

2. Measures to Mitigate Negative Impacts

To mitigate potential negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem balance, several measures can be implemented. Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in ensuring GM crops' safe and responsible use. In India, the Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC) oversees GM crop approval and monitoring, including comprehensive risk assessments, field trials, and public consultations to evaluate potential biodiversity and environmental impacts [46]. Strengthening regulatory frameworks and ensuring transparency and public participation in decision-making can help address concerns and build trust in biotechnology. Best management practices, such as integrated pest management (IPM) and crop rotation, enhance GM crop adoption sustainability. IPM combines biological, cultural, and chemical control methods to manage pests effectively while minimizing environmental impacts. Using refuge areas where non-GM crops are planted alongside GM crops can help preserve Bt crops' effectiveness and reduce target pests' resistance risk. Crop rotation and diversification enhance soil health, reduce pest pressure, and promote biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. Ongoing monitoring and research are essential to assess GM crops' long-term impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem balance. Post-commercialization monitoring programs can track changes in non-target organisms, soil health, and gene flow dynamics. Collaborative research efforts involving scientists, farmers, and policymakers can provide valuable insights into biotechnology's ecological and socio-economic impacts and inform adaptive management strategies [47].

VI. Socio-Economic Impact

A. Economic Benefits for Farmers

1. Increased Income and Profitability

Agricultural biotechnology has significantly enhanced farmers' economic well-being by increasing income and profitability through improved crop yields and reduced production costs. Genetically modified (GM) crops, such as Bt cotton and herbicide-tolerant crops, exemplify this impact. Bt cotton, resistant to the bollworm pest, reduces the need for chemical pesticides, lowering input costs and increasing net profits [48]. Studies, including a meta-analysis show that GM crops increase yields by 21% on average, directly contributing to higher farmer incomes. In India, Bt cotton's success is evident, with adoption surging since 2002, covering over 90% of the cotton-growing area by 2018, leading to a 24% increase in yields and a 50% increase in profits. Higher and more reliable yields enable farmers to diversify their income sources, investing in livestock, secondary crops, or small businesses, creating a more resilient economic base and reducing vulnerability to crop failures and market fluctuations.

2. Case Studies from Different Regions in India

The economic benefits of agricultural biotechnology vary across different Indian regions, reflecting diverse agro-climatic conditions and farming practices. In Maharashtra, a significant cotton-growing state, Bt cotton adoption has led to yield increases and income gains. An IFPRI study found that Bt cotton farmers in Maharashtra achieved an average yield increase of 34%, translating into an additional income of INR 18,000 per hectare compared to non-Bt cotton farmers [49]. In Gujarat, Bt cotton reduced pesticide costs by 50% and increased yields by 68%, allowing farmers to repay debts, improve living standards, and invest in education. In southern states like Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, Bt cotton has similarly transformed economic outcomes. A study reported yield gains of 30-40% and income increases of up to 75%, with reduced pesticide applications improving health and well-being in farming communities.

B. Employment Generation

1. Job Creation in Biotech Sectors

The growth of the biotechnology sector has been a catalyst for job creation, contributing to broader economic development. The biotechnology industry includes research and development (R&D), production, processing, and distribution of biotech products, generating employment across various segments, from highly skilled research scientists to field workers and technicians. According to the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), the industry directly employed over 100,000 people in 2020, with many more indirectly employed through related sectors [50]. The growth of biotech startups and innovation hubs, supported by initiatives such as the Biotechnology Industry Research Assistance Council (BIRAC), has further fueled job creation and entrepreneurial opportunities. The sector has also generated jobs in ancillary industries, such as seed production, agrochemical manufacturing, and agricultural machinery. The adoption of GM crops has led to higher labor demand for activities like planting, harvesting, and post-harvest processing, providing employment opportunities for rural workers and contributing to rural development [51].

2. Training and Capacity Building

The expansion of the biotechnology sector has necessitated investment in training and capacity building to ensure a skilled workforce. Government agencies, academic institutions, and private companies have played crucial roles in providing training programs and capacity-building initiatives. The DBT supports training and skill development programs, such as the Star College Scheme and the Biotechnology Skill Enhancement Programme (BiSEP), enhancing the practical skills and employability of students and young professionals. Academic institutions and research organizations offer specialized training in molecular biology, genetic engineering, and crop biotechnology, building a pool of skilled professionals to drive research and innovation. Private companies also invest in training programs on biotech crop management, regulatory compliance, and quality control, ensuring employees are well-equipped to develop, produce, and market biotech products effectively. Capacity-building efforts extend to farmers and extension workers, with training programs on GM crop adoption, integrated pest management (IPM), and sustainable agricultural practices helping farmers improve productivity and profitability [52].

C. Rural Development

1. Infrastructure Improvements

Agricultural biotechnology's socio-economic impact extends beyond individual farmers to broader rural development. The increased profitability of GM crops has facilitated infrastructure enhancements, including irrigation systems, storage facilities, and transportation networks. For example, farmers in Maharashtra and Gujarat have used increased incomes from Bt cotton to adopt advanced irrigation technologies, improving water use efficiency and crop productivity [53]. Financial gains have allowed investments in better storage facilities, reducing post-harvest losses and maintaining produce quality. Improved transportation networks, including rural roads, have enhanced market access, reducing costs and increasing the efficiency of agricultural value chains. Investments in rural infrastructure have facilitated the efficient movement of produce to markets and processing units, benefiting farmers and agribusinesses.

2. Community-Based Initiatives

Community-based initiatives have leveraged the socio-economic benefits of agricultural biotechnology for rural development. Initiatives involving farmers, cooperatives, NGOs, and local governments promote sustainable agricultural practices, enhance market access, and improve livelihoods. The Self-Employed Women's Association (SEWA) in Gujarat, for example, has promoted Bt cotton adoption among its members, providing access to quality seeds, technical support, and market linkages, empowering women farmers to increase incomes and invest in education and healthcare [54]. Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) aggregate smallholder farmers' produce, providing better bargaining power, access to inputs and credit, and market opportunities. FPOs in Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka have promoted Bt cotton and drought-tolerant rice varieties, improving economic outcomes for their members. NGOs like the M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation (MSSRF) and Pradan support sustainable agricultural practices and enhance smallholder farmers' livelihoods through training, technical assistance, and market linkages, helping farmers realize biotechnology's socio-economic benefits [55].

VIII. Challenges and Limitations

A. Public Perception and Acceptance

1. Addressing Concerns and Misconceptions

Public perception and acceptance of agricultural biotechnology remain significant challenges. Despite the documented benefits of genetically modified (GM) crops, including increased yields and reduced pesticide use, there is widespread skepticism and opposition among consumers and advocacy groups. Concerns often revolve around the safety of GM foods, environmental impacts, and ethical considerations.One of the primary concerns is the potential health risks associated with consuming GM foods. Although numerous studies have confirmed the safety of GM crops for human consumption, public skepticism persists [56]. This skepticism is often fueled by misinformation and sensationalized media reports. Addressing these concerns requires transparent communication and the dissemination of scientific evidence to build public trust.Environmental concerns also contribute to public resistance. Critics argue that GM crops can lead to a loss of biodiversity, unintended harm to non-target organisms, and the development of pest resistance. These issues underscore the need for comprehensive environmental risk assessments and the implementation of strategies to mitigate potential negative impacts.Ethical concerns regarding the control of food supply by multinational corporations and the potential socio-economic impacts on smallholder farmers further complicate public acceptance. To address these concerns, it is crucial to promote policies that ensure equitable access to biotechnological advancements and protect the interests of small-scale farmers.

2. Education and Awareness Campaigns

Education and awareness campaigns are essential for improving public perception and acceptance of agricultural biotechnology. These campaigns should aim to provide accurate information about the benefits and risks of GM crops, addressing misconceptions and highlighting the rigorous safety assessments that these crops undergo.Effective communication strategies include leveraging various media platforms, engaging with community leaders and stakeholders, and conducting public forums and discussions. Collaborating with trusted institutions, such as universities and research organizations, can enhance the credibility of these campaigns [57].Educational initiatives should also target specific groups, such as policymakers, farmers, and consumers. For instance, training programs for farmers can demonstrate the practical benefits of GM crops, such as increased yields and reduced pesticide use, thereby encouraging adoption. Similarly, consumer education programs can address food safety concerns and promote informed decision-making.Involving the public in the regulatory process can also enhance acceptance. Providing opportunities for public input and feedback during the approval and monitoring of GM crops can increase transparency and build trust in regulatory institutions.

B. Technical and Scientific Challenges

1. Research and Development Gaps

Despite the significant advancements in agricultural biotechnology, there are still considerable research and development (R&D) gaps that need to be addressed. One of the primary challenges is the development of crops that can withstand various biotic and abiotic stresses, such as pests, diseases, drought, and salinity [58].While substantial progress has been made in developing pest-resistant and herbicide-tolerant crops, more research is needed to create varieties that can cope with complex environmental stresses. This requires a deeper understanding of plant genetics and the interactions between genes and environmental factors.Another critical area of research is the improvement of crop nutritional quality. Biofortification, the process of increasing the nutrient content of crops, holds great promise for addressing malnutrition in developing countries. However, developing biofortified crops that are both effective and widely accepted by consumers poses significant scientific challenges.The regulatory landscape for GM crops also presents a barrier to R&D. The lengthy and costly process of gaining regulatory approval can deter investment and innovation. Streamlining regulatory procedures while maintaining rigorous safety standards is essential to encourage continued research and development in agricultural biotechnology [59].

2. Infrastructure and Resource Constraints

Infrastructure and resource constraints pose significant challenges to the effective development and deployment of agricultural biotechnology, particularly in developing countries. Adequate infrastructure is essential for conducting cutting-edge research, field trials, and commercialization of biotechnological innovations.Many developing countries lack the necessary research facilities, equipment, and skilled personnel to conduct advanced biotechnology research. Investment in research infrastructure, including laboratories, greenhouses, and field trial sites, is crucial to support scientific advancements.Additionally, the limited availability of funding for biotechnology research and development hampers progress. Public and private sector investment is needed to support long-term research projects and the translation of scientific discoveries into practical applications. Partnerships between governments, research institutions, and industry can help mobilize resources and foster innovation [60].Capacity building and training programs are also essential to address the shortage of skilled professionals in the biotechnology sector. Enhancing the technical skills of researchers, technicians, and regulatory personnel can improve the quality and efficiency of biotechnological research and development.

C. Market Access and Commercialization

1. Supply Chain and Distribution Issues

Market access and commercialization of GM crops face significant challenges related to supply chain and distribution. Ensuring that biotechnological innovations reach farmers and consumers efficiently and effectively is critical for realizing their potential benefits.One of the primary challenges is the lack of robust supply chains that can handle the distribution of GM seeds and related inputs. In many developing countries, the agricultural supply chain is fragmented and inefficient, leading to delays, increased costs, and limited access to high-quality seeds. Strengthening supply chain infrastructure, including storage facilities, transportation networks, and distribution channels, is essential to facilitate the widespread adoption of GM crops.Another issue is the regulatory complexity associated with the commercialization of GM crops. Different countries have varying regulatory requirements for the approval, labeling, and marketing of GM products, creating barriers to international trade and market access. Harmonizing regulatory frameworks and establishing mutual recognition agreements can help streamline the commercialization process and facilitate cross-border trade of biotechnological products. Consumer acceptance plays a crucial role in market access. Even if regulatory approval is obtained, consumer resistance to GM foods can limit market opportunities. Building consumer trust through transparent communication and labeling practices is essential to enhance market acceptance and demand for GM products [61].

2. Intellectual Property Rights

Intellectual property rights (IPR) are a significant consideration in the commercialization of agricultural biotechnology. The protection of IPR is essential for incentivizing innovation and ensuring that developers can recoup their investments. However, the complexity of IPR systems and the potential for monopolistic practices pose challenges to equitable access and distribution of biotechnological innovations.Patent protection for GM crops can limit access for smallholder farmers and developing countries due to high costs and restrictive licensing agreements. Ensuring that IPR systems balance the interests of innovators with the need for affordable access to biotechnological products is crucial. This can be achieved through policies such as compulsory licensing, patent pooling, and the promotion of open-access technologies [62].The enforcement of IPR in developing countries also presents challenges. Weak IPR enforcement can lead to issues such as seed piracy and the unauthorized use of patented technologies, undermining the incentives for innovation. Strengthening IPR enforcement mechanisms and providing technical assistance to developing countries can help address these issues. The ethical implications of patenting genetic resources and traditional knowledge must be considered. Ensuring that the benefits of biotechnological innovations are shared equitably with local communities and indigenous peoples who have contributed to the development of these technologies is essential for promoting social justice and sustainability [63].

X. Conclusion

Agricultural biotechnology has profoundly transformed Indian agriculture, significantly enhancing productivity, sustainability, and socio-economic outcomes. The adoption of genetically modified crops like Bt cotton has led to increased yields, reduced pesticide use, and higher farmer incomes, driving rural development and economic stability. Advances in gene editing technologies and biofertilizers further contribute to sustainable agricultural practices by improving soil health and water use efficiency. Additionally, biotechnology's role in creating employment and fostering community-based initiatives underscores its broader socio-economic impact. Despite challenges such as public perception and regulatory hurdles, continued investment in research, training, and infrastructure is crucial for maximizing biotechnology's benefits. By addressing these challenges and leveraging biotechnological advancements, India can achieve greater food security, environmental sustainability, and rural prosperity.

XI. Reference

1. Glick, B. R., & Patten, C. L. (2022). *Molecular biotechnology: principles and applications of recombinant DNA*. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Sanahuja, G., Banakar, R., Twyman, R. M., Capell, T., & Christou, P. (2011). Bacillus thuringiensis: a century of research, development and commercial applications. *Plant biotechnology journal*, *9*(3), 283-300.
3. Wezel, A., Casagrande, M., Celette, F., Vian, J. F., Ferrer, A., &Peigné, J. (2014). Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *34*(1), 1-20.
4. Veeramani, C., & Dhir, G. (2017). *Domestic value added content of India's exports: Estimates for 112 sectors, 1999-2000 to 2012-13*. Mumbai: Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research.
5. Adepoju, A. A., & Salman, K. K. (2013). Increasing agricultural productivity through rural infrastructure: evidence from Oyo and Osun States, Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Agriculture and Apiculture Research*, *9*(1-2), 1-10.
6. Suresh, A., & Mathur, V. C. (2016). Export of agricultural commodities from India: Performance and prospects. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *86*(7), 876-83.
7. Kogo, B. K., Kumar, L., & Koech, R. (2021). Climate change and variability in Kenya: a review of impacts on agriculture and food security. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *23*(1), 23-43.
8. Smýkal, P., K Varshney, R., K Singh, V., Coyne, C. J., Domoney, C., Kejnovský, E., & Warkentin, T. (2016). From Mendel’s discovery on pea to today’s plant genetics and breeding: Commemorating the 150th anniversary of the reading of Mendel’s discovery. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *129*, 2267-2280.
9. Katz, S. E. (2012). *The art of fermentation: an in-depth exploration of essential concepts and processes from around the world*. Chelsea green publishing.
10. Kingston, W. (2000). Antibiotics, invention and innovation. *Research Policy, 29*(6), 679-710.

11. Shiferaw, B., Smale, M., Braun, H. J., Duveiller, E., Reynolds, M., & Muricho, G. (2013). Crops that feed the world 10. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. *Food Security*, *5*, 291-317.
12. Tegen, H., & Mohammed, W. (2016). The role of plant tissue culture to supply disease free planting materials of major horticultural crops in Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, *6*(1), 122-129.
13. Zeigler, R. S., & Mohanty, S. (2010). Support for international agricultural research: current status and future challenges. *New biotechnology*, *27*(5), 565-572.
14. Chakraborti, M., Anilkumar, C., Verma, R. L., Fiyaz, R. A., Raj, K. R., Patra, B. C., ... & Rao, L. S. (2021). Rice breeding in India: eight decades of journey towards enhancing the genetic gain for yield, nutritional quality, and commodity value.
15. Cornish, N. E., Anderson, N. L., Arambula, D. G., Arduino, M. J., Bryan, A., Burton, N. C., ... & Campbell, S. (2021). Clinical laboratory biosafety gaps: lessons learned from past outbreaks reveal a path to a safer future. *Clinical microbiology reviews*, *34*(3), 10-1128.
16. Sedeek, K. E., Mahas, A., & Mahfouz, M. (2019). Plant genome engineering for targeted improvement of crop traits. *Frontiers in plant science*, *10*, 429493.
17. Brookes, G., & Barfoot, P. (2018). Environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996-2016: Impacts on pesticide use and carbon emissions. *GM crops & food*, *9*(3), 109-139.
18. Zhang, H., Tian, W., Zhao, J., Jin, L., Yang, J., Liu, C., ... & Wu, Y. (2012). Diverse genetic basis of field-evolved resistance to Bt cotton in cotton bollworm from China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(26), 10275-10280.
19. Krishna, V. V., & Qaim, M. (2012). Bt cotton and sustainability of pesticide reductions in India. *Agricultural Systems*, *107*, 47-55.
20. Loureiro, A., & da Silva, G. J. (2019). Crispr-cas: Converting a bacterial defence mechanism into a state-of-the-art genetic manipulation tool. *Antibiotics*, *8*(1), 18.
21. Jaganathan, D., Ramasamy, K., Sellamuthu, G., Jayabalan, S., & Venkataraman, G. (2018). CRISPR for crop improvement: an update review. *Frontiers in plant science*, *9*, 364675.
22. Kumar, S., Palve, A., Joshi, C., & Srivastava, R. K. (2019). Crop biofortification for iron (Fe), zinc (Zn) and vitamin A with transgenic approaches. *Heliyon*, *5*(6).
23. Munawar, N., Ahsan, K., & Ahmad, A. (2024). CRISPR-edited plants’ social, ethical, policy, and governance issues. In *Global Regulatory Outlook for CRISPRized Plants* (pp. 367-396). Academic Press.
24. Xu, Y., & Crouch, J. H. (2008). Marker-assisted selection in plant breeding: From publications to practice. *Crop science*, *48*(2), 391-407.
25. Srivastava, R. K., Satyavathi, C. T., Mahendrakar, M. D., Singh, R. B., Kumar, S., Govindaraj, M., & Ghazi, I. A. (2021). Addressing iron and zinc micronutrient malnutrition through nutrigenomics in pearl millet: Advances and prospects. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *12*, 723472.
26. Pathak, H. P. M. H., Nayak, A. K., Jena, M., Singh, O. N., Samal, P., & Sharma, S. G. (2018). Rice research for enhancing productivity, profitability and climate resilience.
27. Kawalekar, J. S. (2013). Role of biofertilizers and biopesticides for sustainable agriculture. *J Bio Innov*, *2*(3), 73-78.
28. Mgbenka, R. N., Onwubuya, E. A., &Ezeano, C. I. (2015). Organic farming in Nigeria: Need for popularization and policy. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *11*(6), 346-355.
29. Khan, H., Akbar, W. A., Shah, Z., Rahim, H. U., Taj, A., & Alatalo, J. M. (2022). Coupling phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) with inorganic phosphorus fertilizer improves mungbean (Vigna radiata) phosphorus acquisition, nitrogen fixation, and yield in alkaline-calcareous soil. *Heliyon*, *8*(3).

30. Muyanga, M., & Jayne, T. S. (2006). Agricultural extension in Kenya: Practice and policy lessons.
31. Boudh, S., & Singh, J. S. (2019). Pesticide contamination: environmental problems and remediation strategies. *Emerging and eco-friendly approaches for waste management*, 245-269.
32. Deguine, J. P., Ferron, P., & Russell, D. (2009). Sustainable pest management for cotton production: a review. *Sustainable Agriculture*, 411-442.
33. Pathak, R. K., Baunthiyal, M., Pandey, D., & Kumar, A. (2018). Augmentation of crop productivity through interventions of omics technologies in India: challenges and opportunities. *3 Biotech*, *8*, 1-28.
34. Gautam, M., Kafle, S., Regmi, B., Thapa, G., & Paudel, S. (2019). Management of brinjal fruit and shoot borer (LeucinodesorbonalisGuenee) in Nepal. *Acta Sci. Agric*, *3*(9), 188-195.
35. Majumder, R. R., Sakhale, S., Yadav, S., Sandhu, N., Hassan, L., Hossain, M. A., & Kumar, A. (2021). Molecular breeding for improving drought tolerance in rice: recent progress and future perspectives. *Molecular breeding for rice abiotic stress tolerance and nutritional quality*, 53-74.
36. Mackill, D. J., Ismail, A. M., Singh, U. S., Labios, R. V., & Paris, T. R. (2012). Development and rapid adoption of submergence-tolerant (Sub1) rice varieties. *Advances in agronomy*, *115*, 299-352.
37. Dar, M. H., Zaidi, N. W., Waza, S. A., Verulkar, S. B., Ahmed, T., Singh, P. K., ... & Ismail, A. M. (2018). No yield penalty under favorable conditions paving the way for successful adoption of flood tolerant rice. *Scientific reports*, *8*(1), 9245.
38. Tripathi, S., Srivastava, P., Devi, R. S., &Bhadouria, R. (2020). Influence of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides on soil health and soil microbiology. In *Agrochemicals detection, treatment and remediation* (pp. 25-54). Butterworth-Heinemann.
39. Belousova, M. E., Malovichko, Y. V., Shikov, A. E., Nizhnikov, A. A., &Antonets, K. S. (2021). Dissecting the environmental consequences of Bacillus thuringiensis application for natural ecosystems. *Toxins*, *13*(5), 355.
40. Blum, A. (2009). Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the target of crop yield improvement under drought stress. *Field crops research*, *112*(2-3), 119-123.
41. Alori, E. T., Dare, M. O., & Babalola, O. O. (2017). Microbial inoculants for soil quality and plant health. *Sustainable agriculture reviews*, 281-307.
42. Tadele, Z. (2017). Raising crop productivity in Africa through intensification. *Agronomy*, *7*(1), 22.
43. Kour, D., Khan, S. S., Kaur, T., Kour, H., Singh, G., Yadav, A., & Yadav, A. N. (2022). Drought adaptive microbes as bioinoculants for the horticultural crops. *Heliyon*, *8*(5).
44. Tian, J. C., Yao, J., Long, L. P., Romeis, J., & Shelton, A. M. (2015). Bt crops benefit natural enemies to control non-target pests. *Scientific reports*, *5*(1), 16636.
45. Bonny, S. (2016). Genetically modified herbicide-tolerant crops, weeds, and herbicides: overview and impact. *Environmental management*, *57*(1), 31-48.
46. Craig, W., Tepfer, M., Degrassi, G., &Ripandelli, D. (2008). An overview of general features of risk assessments of genetically modified crops. *Euphytica*, *164*, 853-880.
47. Foxon, T. J., Reed, M. S., & Stringer, L. C. (2009). Governing long-term social–ecological change: what can the adaptive management and transition management approaches learn from each other?. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, *19*(1), 3-20.
48. Wang, S., Just, D. R., & Pinstrup-Andersen, P. (2008). Bt-cotton and secondary pests. *International Journal of Biotechnology*, *10*(2-3), 113-121.
49. Venugopalan, M. V., & Reddy, A. R. (2017). A decade of Bt cotton in India: land use changes and other socio-economic consequences. In *Sustainable Management of Land Resources* (pp. 669-698). Apple Academic Press.

50. Surana, K., Singh, A., & Sagar, A. D. (2020). Strengthening science, technology, and innovation-based incubators to help achieve Sustainable Development Goals: Lessons from India. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *157*, 120057.
51. Grodzicki, T., & Jankiewicz, M. (2022). The role of the common agricultural policy in contributing to jobs and growth in EU's rural areas and the impact of employment on shaping rural development: Evidence from the Baltic States. *PLoS One*, *17*(2), e0262673.
52. Anderson, J. A., Ellsworth, P. C., Faria, J. C., Head, G. P., Owen, M. D., Pilcher, C. D., ... &Meissle, M. (2019). Genetically engineered crops: importance of diversified integrated pest management for agricultural sustainability. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*, *7*, 24.
53. Birthal, P. S. (2013). Application of frontier technologies for agricultural development. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *68*(1), 20-38.
54. Meinzen-Dick, R., Behrman, J., Menon, P., & Quisumbing, A. (2012). Gender: A key dimension linking agricultural programs to improved nutrition and health. *Reshaping agriculture for nutrition and health*, *16*, 135-144.
55. Kumar, P., Singh, N. P., & Mathur, V. C. (2006). Sustainable agriculture and rural livelihoods: A synthesis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, *19*, 1-22.
56. Krimsky, S. (2019). *GMOs decoded: A Skeptic's view of genetically modified foods*. MIT Press.
57. Yaziji, M., & Doh, J. (2009). *NGOs and corporations: Conflict and collaboration*. Cambridge University Press.
58. Haggag, W. M., Abouziena, H. F., Abd-El-Kreem, F., & El Habbasha, S. (2015). Agriculture biotechnology for management of multiple biotic and abiotic environmental stress in crops. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res*, *7*(10), 882-889.
59. Rowe, J. D., Amijee, F., Brody, S. D., Wandrey, G. G., & Dreyer, C. C. (2012). The globalization of agricultural biotechnology: implications for regulatory compliance, stewardship and stakeholder engagement. *Regulation of Agricultural Biotechnology: The United States and Canada*, 335-375.
60. Guimón, J. (2013). Promoting university-industry collaboration in developing countries. *World Bank*, *3*, 12-48.
61. Einsiedel, E. F. (2002). GM food labeling: The interplay of information, social values, and institutional trust. *Science Communication*, *24*(2), 209-221.
62. Armillotta, M. (2010). *Technology pooling licensing agreements: promoting patent access through collaborative IP mechanisms*. Nomos.
63. Laird, S. A., & Wynberg, R. P. (2016). Locating responsible research and innovation within access and benefit sharing spaces of the convention on biological diversity: the challenge of emerging technologies. *NanoEthics*, *10*(2), 189-200.