

Overcoming Orobanche Challenges: A Study of Knowledge and Adoption of Management Practices among FCV Tobacco Farmers in Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

This study aims to assess the level of knowledge and adoption of Orobanche management practices among FCV tobacco farmers in Andhra Pradesh. The survey was conducted in twenty-four villages of two mandals, involving a total of 240 respondents. Data was collected by personnel /telephonic interview method through semi structured interview schedule designed for the study. Statistical tools viz., frequency, percentage, Friedman's two-way ANOVA and regression analysis were used in the data analysis. Results show that 72% of farmers have low level of knowledge and low level of adoption (70%) orobanche,. Although most farmers are familiar with the symptoms of Orobanche infestation, implementation of management strategies such as crop rotation and use of resistant varieties is still limited. High labour wages, availability of labour, lack of knowledge on means of spread orobanche, poor phyto sanitary measures and physical removal of orobanche after flowering were the major constraints for management of orobanche. This research indicates an urgent need for more intensive outreach and training programs to increase adoption of appropriate management practices. Tobacco Board, ICAR-CTRI, and Trade should initiate efforts for fostering the adoption of integrated Orobanche management practices. It is hoped that these findings can encourage policies that focus more on educating farmers to increase sustainable tobacco production.

Keywords: Orobanche; knowledge; adoption; constraints and FCV tobacco.

Please mention Ref.[14-39] inside the text

1. INTRODUCTION

Parasitic weeds infect economically important crops like tobacco and seriously threaten the livelihoods of marginal and small farmers. Upon infection, they exploit host plants for water, nutrients, metabolites, and hormones with the help of one or more haustoria [1,39]. Parasitic plants from the families *Convolvulaceae*, *Loranthaceae*, *Viscaceae*, and *Orobanchaceae* grow on their host plants [2,3]. *Orobanchaceae* are by far the largest family of parasitic plants with a large number of species but only a few are considered as important leading to economic losses [4,2,5,3] Heide-Jorgensen, 2011). Among

species, Broomrape (*Orobanche cernua*) is aggressive root parasitic weed in FCV tobacco with a devastating effect on the crop [30]. Broomrapes reduce the biomass of its host by 30% with main adverse effect on the leaves of tobacco [6,22,23,24,25]. It has become a major problem to the FCV tobacco in East Godavari and West Godavari districts of Andhra Pradesh. *Orobanche* infestation occurs almost every year in FCV tobacco.

In spite of research efforts by the weed scientist's, plant breeders, agronomists and plant protectionists, this parasitic weed is still serious problem in tobacco and causing yield loss ranges from 30 - 70% [14,15,16,17]. Severe infestation

leads to complete crop failure (100% yield loss), further it makes soils *Orobanche*-sick for a long period of time ranges from 15- 25 years and prevent the cultivation of tobacco in the infested fields. The parasite is directly connected to tobacco roots and takes the nutrients from it. The infection process takes place underground. The damage to the tobacco crop occurs before the *orobanche* appears above the soil. Farmers are also unable to manage this weed in tobacco field. The reasons for the continuous spread of the *orobanche* in northern light soils are unknown/partially known. In this back ground, the present study has been taken to critically analyse *orobanche* management practices in terms of farmer's knowledge level, adoption gap, factors associated with knowledge and adoption, constraints in *orobanche* management practices by the FCV tobacco farmers.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was undertaken to analyse the *orobanche* management practices followed by

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Knowledge of the FCV Tobacco Farmers on Integrated *orobanche* Management Practices

The results revealed that (72%) of the FCV tobacco farmers were found under low category of knowledge on integrated *orobanche* management practices. The FCV tobacco farmers belonged to medium category of knowledge were found (17.5%) and low level of knowledge (10.5%). Similar findings were imported by Jeeva and Balasubramanian [7].

The results as shown in Table 2, it can be concluded that majority, it can be concluded that, majority of the FCV tobacco farmers (83%) had

the farmers in East Godavari and West Godavari districts of Andhra Pradesh. *Ex-post facto* research design was adopted for the study, since the variables chosen for the study have already been occurred and the researcher does not have any control over independent variables. Two auction platforms viz., Jangareddygudem -I and koyyalagudem were selected based on *orobanche* infestation. Since the *orobanche* infestation is severe in these auction platforms. Considering the time and resources, in selecting APFs, only 12 villages from each APF were selected randomly for study. From each village ten respondents were selected randomly for. Thus, a total of 240 respondents were constituted for the study. Data was collected by personnel /telephonic interview method through semi structured interview schedule designed for the study. The different statistical tools viz., frequency, percentage, Friedman's two-way ANOVA and regression analysis were used in the data analysis.

knowledge on deep summer ploughing benefits, followed by the timely manual weeding (66%), crop rotation benefits (65%) and trap crops used for crop rotation with FCV tobacco (53%). Only few FCV tobacco farmers are having knowledge on *orobanche* management practices viz., early planting to escape from *orobanche* seed germination (40%), recommended dose neem cake application per acre to control *orobanche* (25%), integrated methods for management of *orobanche* (18%), spread of *orobanche* seeds through farm implements (16%), viability period of *orobanche* seed in the soil (13%), very effective methods to completely eradicate *orobanche* (10%) and spread of *Orobanche* seeds from field to field by through grazing animals (9%).

Table 1. Farmers' knowledge of integrated *orobanche* management practices

S. No.	Knowledge level	Respondents (n=240)	
		Frequency	Percentage
1.	Low	173	72
2.	Medium	42	17.5
3.	High	25	10.5

Table 2. Rank ordering of the items based on the frequency of respondents knowledge on recommended *orobanche* management practices

S.No	Statement	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Multiple choice				
1.	What is the best time of planting to escape from	96	40	V

<i>orobanche</i> seed germination?			
2.	What is the recommended dose neem cake application per acre to control <i>orobanche</i>	60	25 VI
3.	How much seed can single <i>orobanche</i> plant produces?	38	16 IX
True/False			
4.	Deep summer ploughing at the depth of about 60 cm will reduce the <i>orobanche</i> infestation	200	83 I
5.	Farm implements are means of spread of <i>orobanche</i> seeds from one field to other field.	38	16 VIII
6.	Only one or two management practices are good enough to control <i>orobanche</i>	43	18 VII
7.	Grazing animals will spread of <i>orobanche</i> seeds from one field to other field.	22	9 XII
8.	Crop Rotation will reduce the <i>orobanche</i> infestation	156	65 III
One word answer			
9.	Please name any two or three trap crops used for crop rotation in tobacco to manage <i>orobanche</i> ?	127	53 IV
10.	Which method is very effective to completely eradicate <i>orobanche</i> ?	24	10 XI
11.	How many years can <i>orobanche</i> seed remain viable in the soil?	31	13 X
12.	What is best time for Physical removal of <i>Orobanche</i> ?	158	66 II

3.2 Adoption of Integrated *orobanche* Management Practices

Adoption of integrated *orobanche* management practices was studied in terms of adoption of available *orobanche* management practices by the FCV tobacco farmers.

It was evident from Table 3 that 70 per cent respondent had low level of adoption of integrated *orobanche* management practices, followed by medium (22%) and high (08%) level of adoption [27,28,29]. This might be due to the low level of knowledge, low level of scientific orientation and innovativeness.

Table 3. Adoption of integrated *orobanche* management practices

S. No.	Adoption level	Respondents (n=240)	
		Frequency	Percentage
1	Low	168	70
2	Medium	53	22
3	High	19	8

Table 4. Farmer practices in *Orobanche* management

S.No.	Statement	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Deep summer ploughing (about 60 cm deep)	204	85
2.	Crop rotation with maize/ cotton	62	26
3.	Cultivation of trap crop/green manuring crop before tobacco.	82	34
4.	Cleaning of farm implements after use in the infested fields	46	19
5.	Grazing animals' movement restriction f in tobacco fields.	36	15
6.	Application of fermented farm yard manure instead of fresh farm yard manure	197	82
7.	80 to 100 kg per acre neem cake application	53	22
8.	Physical removal of <i>Orobanche</i> before it flowers	140	58
9.	Burning of the <i>orobanche</i> shoots far off place from the tobacco fields.	38	16
10.	Early plantings before 15 th October.	77	32
11.	Timely inter cultural operations	151	63
12.	Community approach to manage <i>orobanche</i>	0	0

Table 5. Contribution of variables of the respondents with their knowledge on integrated *orobanche* management practices

S.No.	Variables for contribution of knowledge	Regression coefficient	Standard error	t-value
1	Age	.63	1.017	2.396 [†]
2	Farming Experience	.310	.241	1.981 [†]
3	Family size	.196	.312	.587
4	Educational Status	.467	.912	2.471*
5	Annual Income	-.270	.816	-1.374
6	Land holding	-.202	.124	.318
7	Training received	.711	.041	3.871**
8	Extension contact	.251	1.21	4.767**
9	Mass media participation	.128	.084	2.014*
10	Innovativeness	.019	.067	.261
11	Scientific orientation	.118	1.072	1.12
12	Risk orientation	-.048	.842	-.575
13	Social Participation	.314	.557	2.17*
R ² = 0.514				F = 11.31**

* Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability

It was evident from the study that, majority of the FCV tobacco farmers have adopted *orobanche* management practices viz., Deep summer ploughing (85%) followed by application of fermented farm yard manure instead of fresh farm yard manure (82%), timely inter cultural operations (63%), physical removal of *Orobanche* before it flowers (58%) [19,31,32,34,35,36]. Few farmers only adopted recommended *orobanche* management practices viz., cultivation of trap crop/green manuring crop before tobacco (34%), early plantings (32%) [18], Crop rotation (26%), 80 to 100 kg per acre neem cake application (22%), cleaning of farm implements after use in the infested fields (19%), burning of the *orobanche* shoots far off place from the tobacco fields (16%) and Grazing animals' movement restriction in tobacco fields (15%) [26,27,28]. No single farmers have adopted the community approach to manage *orobanche*. Prodhan [8] and Thorat et al. [9] findings are similar with these observations.

3.3 Relationship between Selected Independent Variables and Level of Knowledge and Adoption on Recommended Integrated *orobanche* Management Practices

Multiple regression analysis was carried out to find out the contribution made by the independent variables and also to identify variables which contribute significantly towards the variation in the knowledge and adoption on integrated *orobanche* management practices by

the farmers. The results of the multiple regression analysis were as follows

It could be observed from the results that, 'F' value (11.31) obtained was significant at one per cent level of significance indicating that, all the independent variables put together contributed significantly to the variation in the extent of adoption of integrated *Orobanche* management practices by the farmers. The coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.514, which revealed that the variation in the extent of adoption of integrated *Orobanche* management practices by the farmers was together explained by all the independent variables selected for the study.

The study revealed that seven characteristics of farmers out of thirteen variables viz., age, farming experience, education, training received, extension contact, mass media participation, and social participation was found to be significant in explaining the variation in their knowledge level on integrated *Orobanche* management practices [21,37,38,1]. It is referred that increasing unit of these variables results in turn increase in level of knowledge of the respondents. Hence, these variables could be considered as good indicators of knowledge by the farmers. The value of co-efficient of determination (R² =0.514) indicated that all the thirteen variables together explained 51.40 per cent of the variation in the knowledge. Since 51.40 per cent per cent of the variation could be explained in the study by thirteen variables.

Table 6. Contribution of variables of the respondents of the respondents with their adoption on integrated *orobanche* management practices

S.No.	Variables for contribution of adoption	Regression coefficient	Standard error	t-value
1	Age	.230	.063	3.521**
2	Farming Experience	1.040	.396	2.34*
3	Family size	.628	1.186	.714
4	Educational Status	-.484	1.210	2.121*
5	Annual Income	.191	.821	.232
6	Land holding	.514	.191	3.814**
7	Training received	.209	.158	2.541*
8	Extension contact	.165	.070	2.721*
9	Mass media participation	.477	.221	1.164
10	Innovativeness	.812	.220	4.141**
11	Scientific orientation	.447	.261	1.98*
12	Risk orientation	.634	.460	1.379
13	Social Participation	-.260	.446	-.583
R ² = 0.418				F = 9.160**

* Significant at 5% level of probability

** Significant at 1% level of probability

It could be observed from the results that, 'F' value (9.160) obtained was significant at one per cent level of significance indicating that, all the independent variables put together contributed significantly to the variation in the extent of adoption of integrated *Orobanche* management practices by the farmers. The coefficient of determination (R²) was 0.418, which revealed that the variation in the extent of adoption of integrated *Orobanche* management practices by the farmers was together explained by all the independent variables selected for the study.

The Table 6 revealed that nine characteristics of farmers out of thirteen variables viz., age, farming experience, education, land holding, training received, extension contact, mass media participation, innovativeness and scientific orientation was found to be significant in explaining the variation in their adoption level of integrated *Orobanche* management practices. It is referred that increasing unit of these variables results in turn increase in level of adoption of the respondents. Hence, these variables could be considered as good indicators of adoption by the farmers. The value of co-efficient of determination (R² =0.418) indicated that all the thirteen variables together explained 41.80 per

cent of the variation in the adoption. Since 41.80 per cent of the variation could be explained in the study by thirteen variables. Similar results were reported by Ahuja et al. [10] and Krishnamurthy et al. [11].

3.4 Constraints in *Orobanche* Management

An effort has been made to identify the major constraints faced by tobacco farmers for management of *orobanche* and the responses were recorded on a five point continuum starting from 1= to a very low extent to 5= to a very high extent on different components. These constraints were compared using Friedman's as shown in Table 7.

It is evident from the results (Table.7) that among the constraints, high labour wages availability of labour, lack of knowledge on means of spread *orobanche*, poor phyto sanitary measures and physical removal of *orobanche* after flowering are the major constraints for management of *orobanche* in FCV tobacco [33]. Similar findings were reported by Shivaram [12] and Kumari S [13].

Table 7. Mean ranks comparison of constraints by tobacco farmers

S.No.	Problem	Mean Rank(N=240)
1	High labour wages	8.76
2	Timely availability of labour	8.15
3	Lack of knowledge on means of spread <i>orobanche</i>	7.05
4	Poor phyto sanitary measures	6.28
5	Physical removal of <i>orobanche</i> after flowering	5.31

6	Non availability of effective management methods	4.37
7	Lack of community level management of <i>orobanche</i>	4.15
8	Lack of resistant varieties	3.87
9	Poor intercultural operations	2.48

Table 8. Farmer practices in *orobanche* management

S.no	Farmers Practice	Frequency (N=240)	Percentage
1	Physical removal of <i>orobanche</i> before it flowers	139	58
2	Physical removing of <i>orobanche</i> after flowering.	106	44
3	Cultivation of trap crop/green manuring crop before tobacco.	74	31
4	Neem cake application	53	22
5	Crop rotation	60	25
6	Timely inter cultural operations	144	60
7	Phyto sanitary measures	79	33

3.5 Farmer Practices in *Orobanche* Management

It is evident from the results (Table.8) that, farmers were practicing cultivation of, timely inter cultural operations (60%), physical removal of *orobanche* before it flowers (58%) and Physical removing of *orobanche* after flowering (44%).

4. CONCLUSION

From the study, it could be inferred that majority of the FCV tobacco farmers were having low level of knowledge especially on biology of the parasitic weed and its modes of transportation from one field to other field. Farmers were aware about benefits of deep summer ploughing, timely physical removal of *Orobanche*, benefits of crop rotation and trap crops used for crop rotation. Majority of the farmers had low to medium level of adoption. Majority of the farmers adopted the practices like deep summer ploughing, application of fermented farm yard manure, timely inter cultural operations and physical removal of *Orobanche*. The farmers are not adopting the proper phytosanitary and quarantine measures to manage *Orobanche*. It was found from the study that the variables viz., age, education, land holding, training, extension contact, scientific orientation were the driving forces to increase the knowledge and adoption of recommended *Orobanche* management practices, there is a strong need to sensitize and train the farmers about the *orobanche* management practices. The identified constraints need to be addressed by policy planners and extension functionaries to formulate suitable methodologies to improve adoption. Tobacco

Board, ICAR-CTRI, and Trade should initiate efforts for fostering the adoption of integrated *Orobanche* management practices.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Please write this section

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Restuccia., Marchese, Mauromicale AM, Restuccia G. Biological characteristics and control of *Orobanche crenata* Forsk., A review. Ital. J. Agron. Rev. Agron. 2009;1:53–68. DOI: 10.4081/ija.2009.1.53
- Joel DM. The new nomenclature of *Orobanche* and *Phelipanche*. Eur. Weed Res. Soc. Weed Res. 2009;49:6–7. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3180.2009.00748.x
- Heide-Jorgensen HS. Parasitic plants, in encyclopedia of biological invasions, eds D. Simberloff and M. Rejmanek (Berkeley, CA; Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press). 2011;504–510. DOI: 10.1525/9780520948433-113

4. Lambrada R. Progress on farmer training in parasiticweed management. Rome: FAO. 2008;156.
5. Parker C. Observations on the current status of *Orobanche* and striga problems worldwide. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2009;65:453–459.
DOI: 10.1002/ps.1713
6. Ernst WHO. Mineral nutrition of *Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Bursana during infection by *Orobanche ramosa* in biology and control of *Orobanche* (Ed S.J.Te Borg). 1986;80-85.
7. Jeeva C, Balasubramanian S. Adoption of good management practices by Aquafarmers. *Indian Journal of Extension Education.* 2007;43(3&4):1-7.
8. Prodhani S, Sarker NI, Sultana A, Islam S. Knowledge, adoption and attitude on banana cultivation technology of banana growers of Bangladesh. *Intl. J. Hort. Sci. and Orna. Plants.* 2017;3(1):47-52.
9. Thorat G, SharmaSK, SinghG, Mishra R. Knowledge, adoption and attitude of the banana growers about the banana cultivation technology. *Indian Res. J. Genet. & Biotech.* 2014;6 (4):666-669.
10. Ahuja R, Singh SP, Sangwan SS, Gautam. Study on decision making ability and risk orientation among dairy farmers and correlates with their socioeconomic and psychological characteristics. *Haryana Veterinarian.* 2017;56(1):77-82.
11. Krishnamurthy NB, Lakshminarayana MT. Nishitha K. Personal, socio-economic, psychological and communication characteristics of the paddy growers. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2018;7(4):4501-4510.
12. Shivaram RP. A study on banana production technology in Kolhapur district. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri, Ahmednagar; 2014.
13. Kumari S. Production and marketing of banana crop in Vaishali district of Bihar. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Dr. RPCAU. Pusa (Samastipur), Bihar; 2019.
14. Arslan ZF, Aksoy E, Uygur FN. Effect of solarization on weeds in greenhouse tomatoes and tomato yield in East Mediterranean Region of Turkey. *Bitki Koruma Bulteni.* 2012;52(4):349–66.
15. Ashrafi ZY, Hassan MA, Mashhadi HR, Sadeghi S. Applied of soil solarization for control of Egyptian Broomrape (*Orobanche aegyptiaca*) on the Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) in two growing seasons. *Journal of Agriculture science and Technol.* 2009;5(1):201–12.
16. Boz O, Dogan MN, Ogot D. The effect of duration of solarization on controlling branched broomrape (*Phelipanche ramosa* L.) and some weed species. (In) 25th German Conference on Weed Biology and Weed Control. 2012;2(434):687–93.
17. Bozukov HP, Nikolov E, Tomeva S. Kalinova N, Getov S, Novodrianiva Georgiev I. Observation and enter into a card index the infection of broomrape (*Orobanche* spp.) on tobacco in; 2005.
18. El-Metwally IM, El-ShahawyTA, Ahmed MA. Effect of sowing dates and some broomrape control treatments on faba bean growth and yield. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research.* 2013;9(1):197–204.
19. Fakkar AA, Bakhit MA, Ahmed AF. Effect of water stress and weed control measures. *Advances in Parasitic Plant Research* 2016;18(7):734–8.
20. Georgiev GV, Encheva N, Nenova P, Peevska Y, Encheva D, Valkova, G, Georgiev E, Penchev. Characterization of the yield components of sunflower lines under the conditions of North- East Bulgaria. *Scientific Works.* 2014;3(1):121-131.
21. Hema B, Damodar ReddyD, Srinivas A. Subbaiah Y, Kasturi Krishna S. Determinants of crop diversification in FCV tobacco growing areas of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. *Tob. Res.* 2021;47(1): 39-44.
22. Ismail AEA. Integration between nitrogen, manure fertilizer, cultural practices and glyphosate on broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk) control in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Bull. Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University.*2013;64:369–78.
23. Mauromicale G, Monako, ALO, Longo A. Effect of branched broomrape (*Orobanche ramosa*) infection on the growth and photosynthesis of tomato. *Weed Science.*2008;56(4):574–81.
24. Mitkov AM, Yanev N, Neshev, Tonev T. The broomrape (*Phelipanche* ssp.): New problem in the bulgarian oilseed rape fields. book of abstracts of the conference: Weed science & sustainable rural development. Democritus University of Thrace, Orestiada, Greece. Wallingford; 2017.
25. Muller-Strover D, Kohlschmid, Sauerborn, J. A novel strain of *Fusarium Oxisporum* from Germany and its potential for

- biocontrol of *Orobanche ramosa*. Weed Research. 2009;49(2):181–7.
26. Nasser ANM, Mekky MS. Effect of irrigation frequency and glyphosate application on broomrape control and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Journal of Agriculture Science. 2002;27(11):7193–202.
 27. QasemJR. Broomrapes (*Orobanche* spp.) the Challenge and Management: A review. Jordan. J. Agril.Sci. 2021;17(3):115-148.
 28. Rubials D, Perez-de-Leque, A, Cubero, JI, Sillero JC. 2003. Broomrape (*Orobanche crenata*) Infection in field pea cultivars. Crop Protection. 2003;22:865–72.
 29. Srinivas A, Damodar Reddy D, Vishwanath Reddy K, Hem A, Baliwada, Kasturi Krishna S. Impact assessment of bidi tobacco in Gujarat. Indian. J. Extension. Education. 2022;58(2):144-148.
 30. Tobacco Board; 2021. Available: https://tobaccoboard.com/tbdata/publicationsfiles/TOB_AR_2020-2021__E_.pdf.
 31. Tonev T, DimitrovaM, Sht Kalinova I. Zhalnov II. Zheliyazkov A. Vassilev M. Tityanov A. Mitkov, YanevM. Herbology. Publisher videneov and son. (Textbook in Bulgarian); 2019.
 32. Tonev T, TityanovM, MitkovA, Yanev M, Neshev N.A Guidebook for Exercises on General Agriculture and Herbology. Publisher: Biblioteka Zemedelsko Obrazovanie. 2018;71-72.
 33. Parker C. Parasitic weeds: A world challenge. Weed Science. 2019;67(SP1): 210-215.
 34. Joel DM, Gressel J, Musselman LJ. (Eds.). Parasitic Orobanchaceae: Parasitic Mechanisms and Control Strategies. Springer; 2013.
 35. Johnson DE, Wopereis MCS, Mbodj D, Diallo S, Powers S, Haefele SM. Farmer adaptation and innovation: Tactics for the future. Food Security. 2020;12(3): 649-659.
 36. Bennett R, Morse S. Sustainable tobacco farming: Managing the economic and environmental impacts. Agricultural Systems. 2016;148:21-28.
 37. Rogers EM. Diffusion of Innovations (5th ed.). Free Press; 2003.
 38. Leeuwis C, Aarts N. Rethinking communication in innovation processes: Creating space for change in complex systems. Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension. 2011;17(1):21-36.
 39. Swarnalatha G, Sarala K, Prabhakara Rao K, Baghyalakshmi K, Sambasiva Rao KR, Poorna Bindu J. Parasitic interactions of *Orobanche* with selected *Nicotiana* species and identification of effective resistant genotypes. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2020;67(5):1125-36.
-