

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118936
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Wide Hybridization in Crop Improvement: Advances, Challenges, and Future Prospects: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Manuscript content is good and informative about role of wide hybridization in crop improvements in disease resistance, pests resistance, improved nutritional quality incorporation etc all together vitally helpful towards the scientific community in present and future prospect.</li> <li>2. Title of the article is scientifically suitable and satisfied with it.</li> <li>3. The abstract is so informative and constructive according to the review of the article and greatly satisfied</li> <li>4. Sub sections are correct</li> <li>5. Yes manuscript is scientifically correct and Please add more examples with the wide hybridization techniques exploited in cereals and other that really succeeded the best crop and satisfied hunger or nutritional quality of past and present</li> <li>6. Reference are recent in most and sufficient feel</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	English language is good and satisfied except very few minor grammatical and spelling corrections.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I would suggest that please take few cereal crops with wide hybridization exploited examples and mention briefly the technology exploited in succession of the desired trait incorporated. Its better also please incorporate any insect pests management through wide hybridization.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ningaraj Belagalla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mysore University, India</b>