

Review Article

Plant Transcription Factors :An Overview and its significance in Abiotic Stress

ABSTRACT

This study delves into the pivotal role of transcription factors (TFs) in orchestrating plant adaptation to abiotic stress, a critical aspect of plant biology with profound implications for agriculture and environmental sustainability. Through an in-depth exploration, key aspects of TF-mediated abiotic stress responses were examined, including the identification of stress-responsive TFs, their regulatory networks, and mechanisms of action. Additionally, the study elucidated the integration of TFs with stress signalling pathways and their modulation of physiological and biochemical responses to environmental challenges. The importance of understanding TFs in abiotic stress response was underscored, emphasizing their potential for engineering stress-tolerant crops and advancing sustainable agricultural practices. Furthermore, the implications of TF-mediated stress responses for agriculture and environmental sustainability were discussed, highlighting opportunities to enhance crop resilience and mitigate the impact of climate change on global food security. Overall, this study sheds light on the intricate interplay between TFs and abiotic stress adaptation mechanisms, offering valuable insights for future research and practical applications in agriculture and environmental management. This review paper solely discusses about Transcription factors and their domains, WRKY, MYB and NAC families and their involvement in abiotic stress.

Keywords: Transcription factors, Domain, Abiotic stress, Stress-responsive genes, Signalling pathways, Plant adaptation.

Introduction

(A) Abiotic stress

Climate changes pose significant challenges to crop production worldwide. Recent data have shown that the Global human population has surpassed 8 billion mark. Furthermore, UN suggested that the figure could potentially escalate to approximately 8.5 billion by the year 2030 and may reach 9.7 billion by 2050 [1]. Environmental changes pose a significant obstacle for the agriculture in order to fulfil the increasing global demand for food production. Raising temperatures and increased frequency of change in weather patterns show major impact on crop growth, development and yield. Abiotic stress poses a significant threat to global food security, with an estimation of 70% of yield losses in major crops [2]. Studies estimate that for every 1 degree C rise in global mean temperature could result in 3-10% decrease in crop yield. Drought, heat waves and erratic rainfall patterns have already caused substantial yield loss worldwide [89-91]. Prolonged heatwave across several states, including Punjab, Haryana, and Uttar Pradesh (UP), led to decline in wheat yield by 10-35%. High temperatures can also directly impact physiological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration and reproductive development, leading to yield losses and quality deterioration [3]. The impact of abiotic stress on plants extends beyond the cellular level to influence various aspects of plant growth, development, and productivity. Abiotic stress can inhibit seed germination, reduce plant growth rates, alter root morphology and architecture, decrease leaf area and chlorophyll content, and compromise crop yield and quality [4]. Furthermore, prolonged exposure to abiotic stress can weaken plants, making them more susceptible to biotic stresses such as pest infestations and diseases [5].

Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying plant responses to abiotic stress is essential for developing strategies to enhance stress tolerance and improve crop resilience. Plants have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to perceive and respond to abiotic stress at the molecular, cellular, and physiological levels. These include stress signal perception, activation of stress signalling pathways, transcriptional reprogramming, synthesis of stress-related proteins (such as chaperones, Osmo protectants, and antioxidants), and activation of stress-responsive metabolic pathways [6]. Recent developments in the fields of molecular biology, genomics,

and biotechnology have shed light on the genetics of plant abiotic stress tolerance. Plant responses to abiotic stress have been linked to important genes, transcription factors, signalling molecules, and regulatory networks that have been discovered by researchers [6]. Using this knowledge, researchers are creating crop types that are more productive and resistant to harsh environmental conditions through the use of genome editing, marker-assisted breeding, and genetic engineering [7].

(B) Transcription factors in plants

Plants being sessile organisms, have the need to protect themselves from various biotic and abiotic stresses surrounding them. To survive in the adverse conditions, plants have various mechanisms which include tolerance, avoidance and resistance. These mechanisms are governed by various biochemicals which are the products of many genes that are expressed under specific conditions. The expression of these stress responsive genes are regulated by specialized proteins called transcription factors (TF). They act as molecular switches, capable of either activating or repressing the transcription of targeted genes. These master regulators (TFs) binds to specific DNA sequence (promotor region) of a gene for transcription initiation and regulation of gene expression [8]. Genome of the plants consist large compliments of TF genes. The model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* encodes over 1500 transcription factors, representing more than 5% of its total genome complement [9]. Several families of TFs have been identified and extensively studied in plants. Among them, dehydration responsive element binding (DREB), basic leucine zipper (bZIP) domain, MYB, NAC, Zinc-finger, heat shock factors (HSF), WRKY, and nuclear factor-Y (NF-Y) have been recognised as major players in stress tolerance mechanisms [10]. TFs also plays an integral role in gene regulatory mechanisms crucial for growth, development, and metabolic pathways of the plant.

Table 1: Role of TF in plant developmental stages

crop	Transcription factor(TF)	Role in plant development	Reference
<i>Zea mays</i> (maize)	COLOURED1(C1)	Responsible for purple colour of corn kernels.	Paz-Ares <i>et al.</i> ,1987 [11]
<i>Malus domestica</i> (Apple)	MdMYB10	Involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis (red colour to fruit).	Espley <i>et al.</i> ,2007 [12]
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	MYB30(R2-R3 MYB type)	Promote flowering.	Liu <i>et al.</i> ,2014 [13]
	NAC1	Promotes lateral root formation.	Xie <i>et al.</i> ,2000 [14]
	PtrMYB192	Regulates flowering time by activating FLOWERING LOCUS	Liu <i>et al.</i> ,2013 [15]
<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (Tomato)	NAC1	Inhibition of fruit ripening by regulating ethylene synthesis and carotenoid accumulation.	Ma <i>et al.</i> ,2014 [16]
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (Wheat)	TaMYB72	Promotes flowering during long and short days.	Zhang <i>et al.</i> ,2016a [17]

(C) MECHANISMS OF TF-MEDIATED ABIOTIC STRESS TOLERANCE

TFs Regulating Stress-Responsive Genes: In plants, transcription factors (TFs) are essential for controlling how genes that respond to stress are expressed. Target genes implicated in stress tolerance processes are either transcriptionally activated or repressed as a result of certain TFs being activated or upregulated in response to abiotic stressors. In the promoters of stress-responsive genes, for instance, TFs like AREB/ABF (ABA-Responsive Element-Binding Factor) and DREB (Dehydration-Responsive Element-Binding) bind to cis-acting regions to activate the transcription of these genes and improve stress tolerance. Similarly, to help plants adapt to abiotic challenges, TFs from the WRKY, NAC, and bZIP families control the expression of genes related to osmotic adjustment, antioxidant defence, and ion homeostasis [18].

Crosstalk between TFs and Stress Signalling Pathways: Abiotic stress responses mediated by TF often entail communication across many signalling pathways. As integrators of stress signals, transcription factors take in information from several signalling pathways, including as calcium, ABA, and MAPK signalling. Plants are able to prioritise resources for stress adaption and adjust their responses to various abiotic stressors thanks to the crosstalk between TFs and stress signalling pathways. For instance, stress-responsive gene expression is regulated by ABA-responsive transcription factors (TFs) in conjunction with elements of the ABA signalling pathway, whereas stress-induced phosphorylation cascades trigger gene expression modulation by MAPK-regulated TFs [19].

Role of TFs in Plant Adaptation to Abiotic Stress: By controlling the expression of genes that respond to stress and coordinating physiological and biochemical reactions, transcription factors are essential in facilitating plant adaptation to abiotic stressors. The production of protective proteins such chaperones and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS), osmotic adjustment, and the preservation of cellular ion homeostasis are all regulated by TFs. By means of these processes, TFs aid in improving stress tolerance, encouraging plant survival, and guaranteeing productivity in the face of challenging environmental circumstances [20].

Table 2: The roles of transcription factors (TFs) in plant adaptation to abiotic stress, including their subsections:

Role of TFs	Subsections	Description	Reference
Regulation of Stress-Responsive Genes	Activation of Stress-Responsive Genes	TFs activate the transcription of stress-responsive genes involved in stress tolerance mechanisms such as osmotic adjustment, antioxidant defence, and ion homeostasis.	Mizoi <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [21]
	Repression of Stress-Responsive Genes	TFs repress the expression of stress-responsive genes under non-stress conditions to prevent unnecessary energy expenditure and maintain cellular homeostasis.	
Integration of Stress Signalling Pathways	Crosstalk with ABA Signalling	TFs integrate signals from the ABA signalling pathway, regulating gene expression and physiological responses to abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity.	Seki <i>et al.</i> , 2003 [22]
	Crosstalk with MAPK Signalling	TFs interact with components of the MAPK signalling pathway, modulating gene expression and stress responses in plants exposed to biotic and abiotic stresses.	
	Crosstalk with Calcium	TFs coordinate cellular responses to abiotic stresses by integrating signals	

	Signalling	from calcium signalling pathways, regulating ion fluxes, and gene expression for stress adaptation.	
Crosstalk between TFs and Signalling Pathways	TF-Mediated Regulation of Signalling Components	TFs directly regulate the expression or activity of components within stress signalling pathways, modulating the intensity and duration of stress responses in plants.	Fujita <i>et al.</i> , 2006 [19]
	Feedback Regulation of TFs	TFs participate in feedback loops within stress signalling networks, influencing the expression or activity of other TFs to fine-tune stress responses and maintain cellular homeostasis.	
Modulation of Physiological and Biochemical Responses	Osmotic Adjustment	TFs regulate the expression of genes involved in osmotic adjustment, enabling plants to maintain cellular water balance and turgor pressure under drought and salinity stress.	Nakashima <i>et al.</i> , 2009 [23]
	Antioxidant Defence	TFs control the expression of antioxidant enzymes and proteins, scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) and mitigating oxidative damage in plants exposed to abiotic stresses.	
	Synthesis of Protective Proteins	TFs modulate the expression of genes encoding protective proteins such as chaperones and LEA (late embryogenesis abundant) proteins, enhancing stress tolerance and promoting plant survival.	

General and specific TFs

Eukaryotic transcription require transcription factors along with RNA polymerase for the initiation of the mechanism [24]. Those transcription factors that controls the primary step of gene expression (transcription) by transcription pre-initiation complex (PIC) formation and guide RNA polymerase towards the promoter regions are basal or General transcription factors (GTFs) ([25]; [26]). GTFs ensure that the transcription mechanism is properly positioned and activated to initiate the transcription mechanism. Most common GTFs that involved in transcriptional initiation are TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIIF, TFIIH and TATA binding protein (TBP) a component of TFIID [27]. TFIID binds to the TATA region located 20-25 nucleotides upstream to the transcriptional initiation site with the help of TATA binding protein (TBP) and assemble other GTFs along with RNA polymerase [28].

Specific transcription factors (STFs) binds to cis-regulatory elements (enhancer and repressors sequence) of the gene promoter and regulate the rate of transcription [29]. These regulatory regions (activators and repressor DNA sequence) that governs the transcription rates exists proximal to the sites where transcription initiates [30]. They alter the levels of gene expression in response to external stimuli to maintain homeostasis.

Domains of TFs

Plant transcription factors consist of DNA-binding domain, Transcriptional regulation domain, Oligomerization domain and nuclear localization signal(NLS) domain in their structure.

DNA-binding domain(DBD) of the transcription factors consists of a highly conserved amino acid residue that recognize DNA bases at promoter region, specifically at transcription factors binding sites(TFBS). Other residues of the domain enhance the transcription factors by binding with either phosphate or deoxyribose moiety [31]. Plant TFs are classified into various families on basis of structure resemblance in the DNA binding domain. bZIP, AP2/EREBP, NAC, WRKY families, the trihelix DNA binding proteins, the auxin response factors (ARFs), MYB and MADs families are major TF families found in plants [9].

Transcriptional regulation domain either function as activators or repressors depending on whether to initiate or inhibit the transcription of the specific gene [32]. This results in increase or decrease in the transcription of the gene, protein synthesis and alters cellular function. Activation domain(AD) interacts with other proteins such as coactivators along with GTFs and enhance the transcription mechanism [33]. Most of the activation domains are rich in either acidic amino acids, proline, or glutamine residues [34]. Repression domain(RD) forms complexes with corepressors and actively interferes with transcription initiation complex to repress the gene transcription [35].

Many transcription factors form a dimer or multimers by protein-protein interaction between transcriptional factor subunits. Oligomerization domain of TFs facilitates the formation of these complexes (homo or hetero oligomers) which enhance the specificity, affinity of DNA binding and transcriptional activity by exposing and masking regulatory domain [32].

Nuclear localization signal(NSL) sequence ensures that the transcription factor is transferred from cytoplasm to nucleus to interact with DNA and regulate gene expression [36]. Certain plant TFs do not possess NSL, they are transported into nucleus through dimer formation with proteins that possess these signals [37].

WRKY TF

WRKY TF family is considered one of the largest families found in plants. They play a pivotal role in regulating plant biotic and abiotic stress defense mechanism and govern various developmental and physiological processes within plants [38].

First WRKY TF, Sweet potato factor 1 (SPF1) gene, was discovered in 1994 in *Ipomea batatas* [39]. Subsequently, the transcription factors ABF1 and ABF2 were discovered in the wild oat species *Avena sativa* and were shown to play a regulatory role in seed germination [40]. Later as more genomes of different crops became available, numerous members of the WRKY TF families have been identified in various species, including 104 in *Populus*, 45 in *Hordeum vulgare*, 55 in *Cucumis sativus*, 74 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 55 in *Solanum lycopersicon* and 102 in *Oryza sativa* [41].

Structure of the WRKY TF consists of 60 amino acids with N-terminal (amino terminal) DNA binding domain (DBD) and C-terminal (carboxyl terminal) Zinc-finger motifs (ZFM) [42]. The DNA-binding domain (DBD) consists of conserved WRKY heptapeptide amino acid sequence WRKYGQK located within N-terminal β strand [43]. Presence of this conserved sequence is the characteristic feature of the WRKY protein. WRKY TF recognizes the W-Box sequence in the promoter region of the specific stress response gene and regulates its expression. The W-Box sequence, which is commonly known as the target recognition sequence for WRKY domains, consists of the nucleotide sequence TTTGACY, where Y can be either T or C [40]. Zn-finger structure found in C-terminal of WRKY proteins are predominantly of C2H2 and C2HC type [44]. Based on the number of DNA binding domains (WRKY domains) and the structure of their Zinc-finger motifs, WRKY TFs can be categorized into three distinct groups: Group I, II, III [45]. Group I proteins typically contain two WRKY domains and one C2H2 Zinc-finger motif. In contrast, the WRKY proteins in groups II and III possess only a single WRKY domain. The distinguishing feature is that the Zinc-finger motif in group II is a C2H2 type, while Group III has C2HC type [46].

Role of WRKY TF in abiotic stress

Analysis of plant genome database has uncovered the presence of distinct WRKY encoding expressed sequence tags (ESTs) [45]. These WRKY ESTs have been isolated from diverse range of plant tissues, including roots, flowers, seeds, and vascular tissues. Furthermore, WRKY ESTs have been identified in plant samples subjected to drought, salinity stress, or pathogen infection. This extensive distribution of WRKY genes across various cell types and physiological conditions suggests that the WRKY TF family may be involved in regulating a broad spectrum of biological processes within plants. Despite the significant progress made in understanding the roles of WRKY proteins in biotic stress response, their role in abiotic stress is comparatively less known. The expression of WRKY genes appears to be induced under a variety of abiotic stress conditions, suggesting that

WRKY transcription factors may be involved in regulating the signaling pathways and transcriptional reprogramming that occurs when plants encounter different environmental changes [46]. This indicates that WRKY proteins play a central role directing the complex molecular responses that allow plants to adopt and acclimate to various abiotic stress.

In response to drought stress, certain drought-tolerant plant varieties have ability to increase their production of oligosaccharides through the metabolic processing of sucrose [41]. Oligosaccharides act as osmolytes such as proline, glycine betaine, helping plant cells to retain water and maintain their structural integrity. This accumulation of oligosaccharides serves to enhance the plants overall drought resistance and capacity to withstand periods of water deficiency. Plants experience dehydration during drought stress. Dehydration can lead to accumulation of reactive oxygen species like H₂O₂, which cause oxidative damage to cellular components. To prevent dehydration, plants undergo certain mechanisms that aims to increase the water uptake, reduce the rate of water loss, or protect the plant cells from elevated levels of hydrogen peroxide(H₂O₂) [47]. For example, WRKY53, a group III WRKY protein play a role in modulating the stomatal movement and behavior, likely through mechanisms involved in reduction of H₂O₂ levels and promotion of starch metabolism within the guard cells [48]. Cotton(*Gossypium hirsutum*) WRKY protein GhWRKY41 when transferred to tobacco revealed that the overexpression of GhWRKY41 enhanced the tolerance to drought and salt stress by regulating stomatal conductance and reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels [49]. HaWRKY76(*Helianthus annuus*), a group II WRKY protein of sunflower was found to provide tolerance to drought and waterlogging in transgenic *Arabidopsis* [50]. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants expressing soyabean (*Glycine max*) transgenic factor GmWRKY54 was found to confer tolerance to both salt and drought stress whereas GmWRKY21 exhibits enhanced tolerance to cold stress [51]. Wheat transgenic factor TaWRKY2 binds with the promoter of drought-responsive gene RD29B and enhance its expression [52]. *Arabidopsis* transcription factor AtWRKY57 similarly binds with RD29A and NCED3 gene promoters and positively regulates its expression [53]. NCED3 gene is responsible for increase in Abscisic acid (ABA) during stress condition which promotes stomatal closure and enhance various drought and salinity tolerance mechanisms.

Table 3: Role of WRKY TF in abiotic stress

Crop	WRKY TF	Abiotic stress	Reference
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> (<i>Arabidopsis</i>)	ATWRKY33 AtWRKY57 AtWRKY53	Heat tolerance Drought tolerance Drought and salt tolerance	Jiang <i>et al.</i> ,2009 [54] Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2012 [53] Sun <i>et al.</i> ,2015 [48]
<i>Zea mays</i> (Maize)	ZmWRKY17	Salt tolerance	Cai <i>et al.</i> ,2017 [55]
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (Wheat)	TaWRKY2/9	Drought tolerance	NIU <i>et al.</i> ,2012 [52]
<i>Glycine max</i> (Soyabean)	GmWRKY54	Drought and salt tolerance	Zhou <i>et al.</i> ,2008 [51]
	GmWRKY21	Cold tolerance	Zhou <i>et al.</i> ,2008 [51]
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (Rice)	OsWRKY89	Tolerance to UV-B Radiation	Wang <i>et al.</i> ,2007 [56]
	OsWRKY72	Drought and salt tolerance	Song <i>et al.</i> ,2010 [57]
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (sorghum)	SbWRKY50	Salt tolerance	Song <i>et al.</i> ,2020 [58]
<i>Ipomea batatas</i> (sweet potato)	IbWRKY47	Salt tolerance	Qin <i>et al.</i> ,2020 [59]

NAC TF

The acronym NAC originates from the initial letters of the first three identified proteins that possess a specific domain, known as NAC domain. These proteins were discovered in different plant species: NAM(no apical

meristem) in *Petunia*, ATAF1/2 and CUC2(cup-shaped cotyledon) in *Arabidopsis* [60]. By advancement in plant genome sequencing technologies numerous NAC genes have been unveiled across diverse plant species at genome level which include 117 in *Arabidopsis*, 151 in rice, 152 in maize ,71 in chickpea, 110 in potato [61].

NAC domain consists of approximately 150 amino acids located within the N-terminal region which function is to facilitate DNA binding within the cell nucleus and promote dimer formation; C-terminal site of the NAC domain plays a crucial role in transcriptional regulation mechanism [61]. X-ray crystallographic studies made on ANAC019(*Arabidopsis thaliana* NAC019) and SNAC1(stress responsive NAC1 of rice) have shown that the structure of NAC domain consists of a novel transcription factor fold comprising twisted beta-sheet surrounded by a few helical elements [62].NAC transcription factors binds with their promoters and regulate the down stream target genes during stress conditions.CACG core sequence, recognized as a NAC recognition sequence(NACRS) has been identified in promoter regions of ERD1 gene(early response to dehydration 1) in *Arabidopsis* enabling the NAC TF to bind and modulate its expression during drought response [63].NAC TF play a pivotal role by involving in plant signaling pathways during stress conditions. For instance, 33 NAC genes in *Arabidopsis* exhibited altered expression under salt stress [64],38 NAC genes in soyabean responded to drought [65] and 40Nac genes in rice were modulated by drought and salinity.

Table 4: Role of NAC TF in abiotic stress

Crop	NAC TF	Response to abiotic stress	Reference
<i>Glycine max</i> (soyabean)	GmNAC085	Tolerance to Dehydration stress	Le <i>et al.</i> ,2011 [65]
	GmNAC20	Tolerance to Salt and freezing	Hao <i>et al.</i> ,2011 [66]
<i>Zea mays</i> (maize)	ZmNAC1	Tolerance to high-salinity and drought	Lu <i>et al.</i> ,2012 [67]
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (Rice)	OsNAC5	Tolerance to Salt, Cold	Sperotto <i>et al.</i> ,2009 [68]
	OsNAC04	Tolerance to Drought, Salt, and cold	Zheng <i>et al.</i> ,2009 [69]
	OsNAC10	Tolerance to Drought and salt	Joeng <i>et al.</i> ,2010 [70]
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> (Wheat)	TaNAC2a	Tolerance to drought	Tang <i>et al.</i> ,2012 [71]
	TaNAC4	Tolerance to high-salinity	Xia <i>et al.</i> ,2010a [72]
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	ANAC019	Cold tolerance	Jensen <i>et al.</i> ,2010 [73]
	ANAC055	Tolerance to Drought and high-salinity	Tran <i>et al.</i> ,2004 [74]

MYB TF

MYB TF family is regarded as one of the extensive protein families found in plants.First plant MYB gene -C1 gene was identified in maize [11]. Structure consists of highly conserved N-terminal MYB DNA-binding domain(DBD) repeats(R1,R2,R3; R represent repeat). Each repeat comprises approximately 52amino acid residues that adopt a three-dimensional structure consisting of three alpha helices [75].On basis of Terminal MYB domain repeats MYB TF is classified into four different classes- 1R(R1 type MYB),R2R3MYB,3RMYB(R1R2R3MYB) and 4RMYB(four R1/R2). Majority of plant MYB TF belong to R2R3 MYB class containing 2 repeats in their N-terminal domain.R2R3 MYB TF play a major role in plant specific processes such as metabolism(primary and secondary),cell development and response to both biotic and abiotic stresses [76]. Extensive research efforts have been led to identification of MYB genes across various plant species. Notably, Model plant *Arabidopsis* consists of 204 MYB genes, 197 in rice, 279 in populus, 279 in grapevine and 180 in *Brachipodium* [77].R2R3 MYB TF play a significant role in signal transduction pathways associated with various phytohormones, including salicylic acid, abscisic acid, gibberellic acid, and jasmonic acid [78].NotablyABA plays a major crucial role in facilitating stomatal closure and enabling the adaptation of vegetative tissue to abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity.For example, AtMYB60 and AtMYB96 in *Arabidopsis* act through the abscisic acid (ABA) signalling pathway to regulate stomatal movement and enhance drought tolerance [79].

Table 5: Role of MYB TF in abiotic stress

Crop	MYB TF	Response to abiotic stress	Reference
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (Rice)	OsMYB91	Tolerance to Drought, cold and salinity stress	Yang <i>et al.</i> ,2012 [80]
	OsMYB2	Tolerance to Salinity stress	Zhu <i>et al.</i> ,2015[81]
	OsMYB55	Tolerance to drought and high-temperature	Casaretto <i>et al.</i> ,2016 [82]
<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	AtMYB15	Tolerance to freezing stress	Agarwal <i>et al.</i> ,2006 [83]
<i>Transgenic Arabidopsis</i>	TaMYB2A(wheat TF)	Tolerance to multiple abiotic stress	Mao <i>et al.</i> ,2011[84]
	MYB96	Tolerance to drought	Seo <i>et al.</i> ,2009 [85]
	ZmMYB30(Maize TF)	Tolerance to salt	Tang <i>et al.</i> ,2019 [86]
<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> (sugarcane)	SoMYB18	Tolerance to salinity and drought	Shingote <i>et al.</i> ,2015 [87]
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>	SbMYB60	Tolerance to drought	Scully <i>et al.</i> ,2016 [88]

CONCLUSION

Throughout this discussion, we explored the intricate role of transcription factors (TFs) in plant adaptation to abiotic stress. The discovery of stress-responsive transcription factors (TFs), their control over stress-responsive genes, their interaction with stress signalling pathways, and their modification of physiological and biochemical reactions are important aspects. We also spoke about the various ways that TFs support plant resilience and stress tolerance under harsh environmental circumstances. Deciphering the molecular processes behind plant stress adaptation requires an understanding of the involvement of TFs in the abiotic stress response. TFs are key players in the regulation of stress-responsive gene expression, coordinating intricate signalling pathways and physiological reactions to external stimuli. By clarifying the roles of TFs, scientists might create methods for creating stress-tolerant plants that are more resilient to unfavourable environmental circumstances, therefore tackling issues related to global food security. The insights gained from studying TF-mediated abiotic stress responses have significant implications for agriculture and environmental sustainability. Through the use of TF information, scientists may create crop varieties that are more resilient to stress, decreasing yield losses and boosting agricultural output in the face of environmental degradation and climate change. Furthermore, by optimising resource utilisation and reducing the environmental effect of agricultural production, farmers may adopt sustainable agricultural practices by gaining a knowledge of TF-mediated stress responses.

In conclusion, research on transcription factors in the response to abiotic stress offers important insights into the processes of plant adaptation, presenting potential chances to improve environmental sustainability and agricultural output in a changing global context.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Reference

1. United Nations 2023. Available online: <https://www.un.org>
2. Cramer GR, Urano K, Delrot S, Pezzotti M, Shinozaki K. Effects of abiotic stress on plants: a systems biology perspective. *BMC plant biology*. 2011 Dec;11:1-4.
3. Hatfield JL, Prueger JH. Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development. *Weather and climate extremes*. 2015 Dec 1;10:4-10.
4. Farooq M, Hussain M, Siddique KH. Drought stress in wheat during flowering and grain-filling periods. *Critical reviews in plant sciences*. 2014 Jul 4;33(4):331-49.
5. Atkinson NJ, Urwin PE. The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses: from genes to the field. *Journal of experimental botany*. 2012 Jun 13;63(10):3523-43.
6. Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. *New Phytologist*. 2014 Jul;203(1):32-43.
7. Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Gene networks involved in drought stress response and tolerance. *Journal of experimental botany*. 2007 Jan 1;58(2):221-7.
8. Latchman DS. Transcription factors: an overview. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*. 1997 Dec 1;29(12):1305-12.
9. Riechmann JL, Heard J, Martin G, Reuber L, Jiang CZ, Keddie J, Adam L, Pineda O, Ratcliffe OJ, Samaha RR, Creelman 8. Arabidopsis transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. *science*. 2000 Dec 15;290(5499):2105-10.
10. Shahzad R, Jamil S, Ahmad S, Nisar A, Amina Z, Saleem S, Iqbal MZ, Atif RM, Wang X. Harnessing the potential of plant transcription factors in developing climate resilient crops to improve global food security: Current and future perspectives. *Saudi journal of biological sciences*. 2021 Apr 1;28(4):2323-41.

11. Paz-Ares J, Ghosal D, Wienand U, Peterson PA, Saedler H. The regulatory *c1* locus of *Zea mays* encodes a protein with homology to myb proto-oncogene products and with structural similarities to transcriptional activators. *The EMBO journal*. 1987 Dec 1;6(12):3553-8.
12. Espley RV, Hellens RP, Putterill J, Stevenson DE, Kutty-Amma S, Allan AC. Red colouration in apple fruit is due to the activity of the MYB transcription factor, MdMYB10. *The Plant Journal*. 2007 Feb;49(3):414-27.
13. Liu L, Zhang J, Adrian J, Gissot L, Coupland G, Yu D, Turck F. Elevated levels of MYB30 in the phloem accelerate flowering in *Arabidopsis* through the regulation of FLOWERING LOCUS T. *PLoS one*. 2014 Feb 25;9(2):e89799.
14. Xie Q, Frugis G, Colgan D, Chua NH. *Arabidopsis* NAC1 transduces auxin signal downstream of TIR1 to promote lateral root development. *Genes & development*. 2000 Dec 1;14(23):3024-36.
15. Liu S, Wang X, Li E, Douglas CJ, Chen JG, Wang S. R2R3 MYB transcription factor PtrMYB192 regulates flowering time in *Arabidopsis* by activating FLOWERING LOCUS C. *Journal of Plant Biology*. 2013 Aug;56:243-50.
16. Ma N, Feng H, Meng X, Li D, Yang D, Wu C, Meng Q. Overexpression of tomato SINAC1 transcription factor alters fruit pigmentation and softening. *BMC Plant Biology*. 2014 Dec;14:1-4.
17. Zhang L, Liu G, Jia J, Zhao G, Xia C, Zhang L, Li F, Zhang Q, Dong C, Gao S, Han L. The wheat MYB-related transcription factor TaMYB72 promotes flowering in rice. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*. 2016 Aug;58(8):701-4.
18. Hrmova M, Hussain SS. Plant transcription factors involved in drought and associated stresses. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2021 May 26;22(11):5662.
19. Fujita M, Fujita Y, Noutoshi Y, Takahashi F, Narusaka Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. *Current opinion in plant biology*. 2006 Aug 1;9(4):436-42.
20. Agarwal PK, Jha B. Transcription factors in plants and ABA dependent and independent abiotic stress signalling. *Biologia plantarum*. 2010 Jun;54(2):201-12.
21. Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. AP2/ERF family transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*. 2012 Feb 1;1819(2):86-96.
22. Seki M, Kamei A, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Molecular responses to drought, salinity and frost: common and different paths for plant protection. *Current opinion in biotechnology*. 2003 Apr 1;14(2):194-9.
23. Nakashima K, Ito Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Transcriptional regulatory networks in response to abiotic stresses in *Arabidopsis* and grasses. *Plant physiology*. 2009 Jan 1;149(1):88-95.
24. Levine M, Tjian R. Transcription regulation and animal diversity. *Nature*. 2003 Jul 10;424(6945):147-51.
25. Burley SK, Roeder RG. Biochemistry and structural biology of transcription factor IID (TFIID). *Annual review of biochemistry*. 1996 Jul;65(1):769-99.

26. Nikolov DB, Burley SK. RNA polymerase II transcription initiation: a structural view. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1997 Jan 7;94(1):15-22.
27. Thomas MC, Chiang CM. The general transcription machinery and general cofactors. *Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology*. 2006 Jan 1;41(3):105-78.
28. Pugh BF, Tjian R. Mechanism of transcriptional activation by Sp1: evidence for coactivators. *Cell*. 1990 Jun 29;61(7):1187-97.
29. Chowdhary AA, Mishra S, Mehrotra S, Upadhyay SK, Bagal D, Srivastava V. Plant transcription factors: an overview of their role in plant life. *Plant Transcription Factors*. 2023 Jan 1:3-20.
30. Wasserman WW, Sandelin A. Applied bioinformatics for the identification of regulatory elements. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2004 Apr 1;5(4):276-87.
31. Huang H, Tudor M, Su T, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Ma H. DNA binding properties of two Arabidopsis MADS domain proteins: binding consensus and dimer formation. *The Plant Cell*. 1996 Jan 1;8(1):81-94.
32. Liu L, White MJ, MacRae TH. Transcription factors and their genes in higher plants: functional domains, evolution and regulation. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1999 Jun 1;262(2):247-57.
33. Vo N, Goodman RH. CREB-binding protein and p300 in transcriptional regulation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2001 Apr 27;276(17):13505-8.
34. Mitchell PJ, Tjian R. Transcriptional regulation in mammalian cells by sequence-specific DNA binding proteins. *Science*. 1989 Jul 28;245(4916):371-8.
35. Kadosh D, Struhl K. Targeted recruitment of the Sin3-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex generates a highly localized domain of repressed chromatin in vivo. *Molecular and cellular biology*. 1998 Sep 1;18(9):5121-7.
36. Robbins J, Dilworth SM, Laskey RA, Dingwall C. Two interdependent basic domains in nucleoplasmin nuclear targeting sequence: identification of a class of bipartite nuclear targeting sequence. *Cell*. 1991 Feb 8;64(3):615-23.
37. Goldfarb AN, Lewandowska K. Nuclear redirection of a cytoplasmic helix-loop-helix protein via heterodimerization with a nuclear localizing partner. *Experimental cell research*. 1994 Oct 1;214(2):481-5.
38. Jiang W, Wu J, Zhang Y, Yin L, Lu J. Isolation of a WRKY30 gene from *Muscadinia rotundifolia* (Michx) and validation of its function under biotic and abiotic stresses. *Protoplasma*. 2015 Sep;252:1361-74.
39. Ishiguro S, Nakamura K. Characterization of a cDNA encoding a novel DNA-binding protein, SPF1, that recognizes SP8 sequences in the 5' upstream regions of genes coding for sporamin and β -amylase from sweet potato. *Molecular and General Genetics MGG*. 1994 Nov;244:563-71.
40. Rushton PJ, Macdonald H, Huttly AK, Lazarus CM, Hooley R. Members of a new family of DNA-binding proteins bind to a conserved cis-element in the promoters of α -Amy2 genes. *Plant molecular biology*. 1995 Nov;29:691-702.
41. Li W, Pang S, Lu Z, Jin B. Function and mechanism of WRKY transcription factors in abiotic stress responses of plants. *Plants*. 2020 Nov 8;9(11):1515.
42. Phukan UJ, Jeena GS, Shukla RK. WRKY transcription factors: molecular regulation and stress responses in plants. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2016 Jun 3;7:760.

43. Gujjar RS, Akhtar M, Singh M. Transcription factors in abiotic stress tolerance. *Indian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 2014 Dec;19:306-16.
44. Bakshi M, Oelmüller R. WRKY transcription factors: Jack of many trades in plants. *Plant signaling & behavior*. 2014 Feb 1;9(2):e27700.
45. Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends in plant science*. 2000 May 1;5(5):199-206.
46. Chen L, Song Y, Li S, Zhang L, Zou C, Yu D. The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant abiotic stresses. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*. 2012 Feb 1;1819(2):120-8.
47. Verslues PE, Agarwal M, Katiyar A, Agarwal S, Zhu J, Zhu JK. Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. *The Plant Journal*. 2006 Feb;45(4):523-39.
48. Sun Y, Yu D. Activated expression of AtWRKY53 negatively regulates drought tolerance by mediating stomatal movement. *Plant cell reports*. 2015 Aug;34:1295-306.
49. Chu X, Wang C, Chen X, Lu W, Li H, Wang X, Hao L, Guo X. The cotton WRKY gene GhWRKY41 positively regulates salt and drought stress tolerance in transgenic *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *PLoS One*. 2015 Nov 12;10(11):e0143022.
50. Raineri J, Ribichich KF, Chan RL. The sunflower transcription factor HaWRKY76 confers drought and flood tolerance to *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants without yield penalty. *Plant Cell Reports*. 2015 Dec;34:2065-80.
51. Zhou QY, Tian AG, Zou HF, Xie ZM, Lei G, Huang J, Wang CM, Wang HW, Zhang JS, Chen SY. Soybean WRKY-type transcription factor genes, GmWRKY13, GmWRKY21, and GmWRKY54, confer differential tolerance to abiotic stresses in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant biotechnology journal*. 2008 Jun;6(5):486-503.
52. NIU CF, Wei WE, ZHOU QY, TIAN AG, HAO YJ, ZHANG WK, Ma B, Lin Q, ZHANG ZB, ZHANG JS, CHEN SY. Wheat WRKY genes TaWRKY2 and TaWRKY19 regulate abiotic stress tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant, cell & environment*. 2012 Jun;35(6):1156-70.
53. Jiang Y, Liang G, Yu D. Activated expression of WRKY57 confers drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular plant*. 2012 Nov 1;5(6):1375-88.
54. Jiang Y, Deyholos MK. Functional characterization of *Arabidopsis* NaCl-inducible WRKY25 and WRKY33 transcription factors in abiotic stresses. *Plant molecular biology*. 2009 Jan;69:91-105.
55. Cai R, Dai W, Zhang C, Wang Y, Wu M, Zhao Y, Ma Q, Xiang Y, Cheng B. The maize WRKY transcription factor ZmWRKY17 negatively regulates salt stress tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *Planta*. 2017 Dec;246:1215-31.
56. Wang H, Hao J, Chen X, Hao Z, Wang X, Lou Y, Peng Y, Guo Z. Overexpression of rice WRKY89 enhances ultraviolet B tolerance and disease resistance in rice plants. *Plant molecular biology*. 2007 Dec;65:799-815.
57. Song Y, Chen L, Zhang L, Yu D. Overexpression of OsWRKY72 gene interferes in the abscisic acid signal and auxin transport pathway of *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of biosciences*. 2010 Sep;35:459-71.

58. Song Y, Li J, Sui Y, Han G, Zhang Y, Guo S, Sui N. The sweet sorghum SbWRKY50 is negatively involved in salt response by regulating ion homeostasis. *Plant molecular biology*. 2020 Apr;102:603-14.
59. Qin Z, Hou F, Li A, Dong S, Wang Q, Zhang L. Transcriptome-wide identification of WRKY transcription factor and their expression profiles under salt stress in sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.). *Plant Biotechnology Reports*. 2020 Oct;14:599-611.
60. Souer E, van Houwelingen A, Kloos D, Mol J, Koes R. The no apical meristem gene of *Petunia* is required for pattern formation in embryos and flowers and is expressed at meristem and primordia boundaries. *Cell*. 1996 Apr 19;85(2):159-70.
61. Shao H, Wang H, Tang X. NAC transcription factors in plant multiple abiotic stress responses: progress and prospects. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2015 Oct 29;6:156056.
62. Ernst HA, Nina Olsen A, Skriver K, Larsen S, Lo Leggio L. Structure of the conserved domain of ANAC, a member of the NAC family of transcription factors. *EMBO reports*. 2004 Mar 1;5(3):297-303.
63. Simpson SD, Nakashima K, Narusaka Y, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Two different novel cis-acting elements of *erd1*, a *clpA* homologous *Arabidopsis* gene function in induction by dehydration stress and dark-induced senescence. *The Plant Journal*. 2003 Jan;33(2):259-70.
64. Jiang Y, Deyholos MK. Comprehensive transcriptional profiling of NaCl-stressed *Arabidopsis* roots reveals novel classes of responsive genes. *BMC Plant Biology*. 2006 Dec;6:1-20.
65. Le DT, Nishiyama RI, Watanabe Y, Mochida K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K, Tran LS. Genome-wide survey and expression analysis of the plant-specific NAC transcription factor family in soybean during development and dehydration stress. *DNA research*. 2011 Aug 1;18(4):263-76.
66. Hao YuJun HY, Wei Wei WW, Song QingXin SQ, Chen HaoWei CH, Zhang YuQin ZY, Wang Fang WF, Zou HongFeng ZH, Lei Gang LG, Tian AiGuo TA, Zhang WanKe ZW, Ma Biao MB. Soybean NAC transcription factors promote abiotic stress tolerance and lateral root formation in transgenic plants.
67. Lu M, Ying S, Zhang DF, Shi YS, Song YC, Wang TY, Li Y. A maize stress-responsive NAC transcription factor, *ZmSNAC1*, confers enhanced tolerance to dehydration in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Plant cell reports*. 2012 Sep;31:1701-11.
68. Sperotto RA, Ricachenevsky FK, Duarte GL, Boff T, Lopes KL, Sperb ER, Grusak MA, Fett JP. Identification of up-regulated genes in flag leaves during rice grain filling and characterization of *OsNAC5*, a new ABA-dependent transcription factor. *Planta*. 2009 Oct;230:985-1002.
69. Zheng X, Chen B, Lu G, Han B. Overexpression of a NAC transcription factor enhances rice drought and salt tolerance. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*. 2009 Feb 20;379(4):985-9.
70. Jeong JS, Kim YS, Baek KH, Jung H, Ha SH, Do Choi Y, Kim M, Reuzeau C, Kim JK. Root-specific expression of *OsNAC10* improves drought tolerance and grain yield in rice under field drought conditions. *Plant physiology*. 2010 May 1;153(1):185-97.
71. Tang Y, Liu M, Gao S, Zhang Z, Zhao X, Zhao C, Zhang F, Chen X. Molecular characterization of novel *TaNAC* genes in wheat and overexpression of *TaNAC2a* confers drought tolerance in tobacco. *Physiologia plantarum*. 2012 Mar;144(3):210-24.

72. Xia N, Zhang G, Liu XY, Deng L, Cai GL, Zhang Y, Wang XJ, Zhao J, Huang LL, Kang ZS. Characterization of a novel wheat NAC transcription factor gene involved in defense response against stripe rust pathogen infection and abiotic stresses. *Molecular biology reports*. 2010 Dec;37:3703-12.
73. Jensen MK, Kjaersgaard T, Nielsen MM, Galberg P, Petersen K, O'shea C, Skriver K. The Arabidopsis thaliana NAC transcription factor family: structure–function relationships and determinants of ANAC019 stress signalling. *Biochemical Journal*. 2010 Mar 1;426(2):183-96.
74. Tran LS, Nakashima K, Sakuma Y, Simpson SD, Fujita Y, Maruyama K, Fujita M, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Isolation and functional analysis of Arabidopsis stress-inducible NAC transcription factors that bind to a drought-responsive cis-element in the early responsive to dehydration stress 1 promoter. *The Plant Cell*. 2004 Sep 1;16(9):2481-98.
75. Wang X, Niu Y, Zheng Y. Multiple functions of MYB transcription factors in abiotic stress responses. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2021 Jun 7;22(11):6125.
76. Dubos C, Stracke R, Grotewold E, Weisshaar B, Martin C, Lepiniec L. MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends in plant science*. 2010 Oct 1;15(10):573-81.
77. Zhang L, Zhao G, Jia J, Liu X, Kong X. Molecular characterization of 60 isolated wheat MYB genes and analysis of their expression during abiotic stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2012 Jan 1;63(1):203-14.
78. Ambawat S, Sharma P, Yadav NR, Yadav RC. MYB transcription factor genes as regulators for plant responses: an overview. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*. 2013 Jul;19:307-21.
79. Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Vavasseur A, Conti L, Sala T, Vuylsteke M, Leonhardt N, Dellaporta SL, Tonelli C. A guard-cell-specific MYB transcription factor regulates stomatal movements and plant drought tolerance. *Current biology*. 2005 Jul 12;15(13):1196-200.
80. Yang A, Dai X, Zhang WH. A R2R3-type MYB gene, OsMYB2, is involved in salt, cold, and dehydration tolerance in rice. *Journal of experimental botany*. 2012 Apr 1;63(7):2541-56.
81. Zhu N, Cheng S, Liu X, Du H, Dai M, Zhou DX, Yang W, Zhao Y. The R2R3-type MYB gene OsMYB91 has a function in coordinating plant growth and salt stress tolerance in rice. *Plant Science*. 2015 Jul 1;236:146-56.
82. Casaretto JA, El-Kereamy A, Zeng B, Stieglmeyer SM, Chen X, Bi YM, Rothstein SJ. Expression of OsMYB55 in maize activates stress-responsive genes and enhances heat and drought tolerance. *BMC genomics*. 2016 Dec;17:1-5.
83. Agarwal M, Hao Y, Kapoor A, Dong CH, Fujii H, Zheng X, Zhu JK. A R2R3 type MYB transcription factor is involved in the cold regulation of CBF genes and in acquired freezing tolerance. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2006 Dec 8;281(49):37636-45.
84. Mao X, Jia D, Li A, Zhang H, Tian S, Zhang X, Jia J, Jing R. Transgenic expression of TaMYB2A confers enhanced tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis. *Functional & Integrative Genomics*. 2011 Sep;11:445-65.
85. Seo PJ, Xiang F, Qiao M, Park JY, Lee YN, Kim SG, Lee YH, Park WJ, Park CM. The MYB96 transcription factor mediates abscisic acid signaling during drought stress response in Arabidopsis. *Plant physiology*. 2009 Sep 1;151(1):275-89.

86. Tang Y, Bao X, Zhi Y, Wu Q, Guo Y, Yin X, Zeng L, Li J, Zhang J, He W, Liu W. Overexpression of a MYB family gene, OsMYB6, increases drought and salinity stress tolerance in transgenic rice. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2019 Feb 18;10:168.
87. Shingote PR, Kavar PG, Pagariya MC, Kuhikar RS, Thorat AS, Babu KH. SoMYB18, a sugarcane MYB transcription factor improves salt and dehydration tolerance in tobacco. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. 2015 Oct;37:1-2.
88. Scully ED, Gries T, Sarath G, Palmer NA, Baird L, Serapiglia MJ, Dien BS, Boateng AA, Ge Z, Funnell-Harris DL, Twigg P. Overexpression of SbMyb60 impacts phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and alters secondary cell wall composition in *Sorghum bicolor*. *The Plant Journal*. 2016 Feb;85(3):378-95.
89. Behera PP, Saharia N, Borah N, Devi SH, Sarma RN. Sorghum Physiology and Adaptation to Abiotic Stresses. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change*. [Internet]. 2022 Jun. 16 [cited 2024 May 31];12(10):1005-22. Available from: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/article/view/858>
90. Atal HL, Srilakshmi D, Debbarma K, Jena L, Ichancha M. A Review on Breeding in Ornamental Crops for Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci*. [Internet]. 2022 Jun. 9 [cited 2024 May 31];34(20):134-8. Available from: <https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/2063>
91. Sade N, del Mar Rubio-Wilhelmi M, Umnajkitikorn K, Blumwald E. Stress-induced senescence and plant tolerance to abiotic stress. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2018 Feb 6;69(4):845-53.