

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJASS_117774
Title of the Manuscript:	Students' Perceptions of Ceramic Education in Public Universities in Rivers State: A Review
Type of the Article	Literature review

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The paper highlighted the importance of ceramics education as an entrepreneur course. Manuscript narrates the available resources and set backs in the ceramic education. The paper addresses the local community need to revive and encourage the student enrolment in the ceramic education.</p> <p>2.The title mentions “the student’s perceptions”, however, the paper reviewed the literature, but neither surveyed nor mentioned the studies on the students’ perceptions. Hence, the title need modification.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Subsections are appropriate except under the heading conclusion, the subheading “The way Forward” may be changed to “recommendations”. Also, the manuscript needs to discuss each point with evidence mentioned in “The Way Forward” section, especially the second point.</p> <p>5. The manuscript has scientific content suitable for a short literature review or opinion paper.</p> <p>6. The content and concept of the paper is good. However, the references are very old, the recent one mentioned is 10 years old reference. The main drawback of this manuscript is, building the manuscript on very old and limited references. Hence, authors may need to further develop the manuscript using the most relevant references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is good except for minor spellings and grammar corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper draws attention towards improving regionally, culturally important ceramic education in Nigerian universities. I would suggest the authors to develop the manuscript by using more recent supportive evidence on decreasing student enrolment, perceptions, challenges, opportunities in ceramic education.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Malay Jhancy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RAK Medical and Health Sciences University, United Arab Emirates</b>