

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_ARJASS_117774
Title of the Manuscript:	Students' Perceptions of Ceramic Education in Public Universities in Rivers State: A Review
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a critical issue in the field of arts and social sciences, focusing on students' perceptions of ceramic education in Nigerian universities. It highlights the challenges and potential benefits of this discipline, providing valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers.</p> <p>2. The current title is appropriate and reflects the content of the manuscript. <i>However, for clarity and specificity, it could be refined to: "Students' Perceptions of Ceramic Education in Public Universities in Rivers State, Nigeria: Challenges and Opportunities."</i></p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive and effectively summarizes the key points of the manuscript. It covers the main findings, challenges, and recommendations, providing a clear overview of the study.</p> <p>4. The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate and logically organized. The manuscript effectively transitions from discussing the perceptions and challenges to exploring the benefits and potential of ceramics education.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, presenting well-supported arguments and relevant references. The data and observations are appropriately contextualized within the existing literature.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and include both historical and recent sources. <i>However, incorporating more recent studies, especially those published in the last five years, could strengthen the manuscript.</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical and stylistic corrections are needed to improve readability and clarity.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Consider expanding the discussion on the historical development of ceramics education in Nigeria, providing more context on its evolution and current status.</p> <p>2. Including a comparative analysis with other countries' ceramics education programs might offer additional valuable insights and highlight best practices that could be adopted in Nigeria.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ismael W. Baog</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>City College of Davao, Philippines</b>