

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111704</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on effect of different levels of nitrogen in combination with nano urea on quality parameters, seed parameters, available nitrogen in soil and nitrogen content in leaves of marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>SUITABLE AS SUGGESTED IN THE COMMENT</p> <p>YES BUT NO AIM AND BRIEF METHODOLOGY</p> <p>THE STRUCTURE AND SUBSECTIONS ARE APPROPRIATE</p> <p>THE MANUSCRIPT IS SCIENTIFICALLY CORRECT</p> <p>THE REFERENCES ARE OK BUT NEED TO BE REVISED BY THE AUTHORS TO IMPROVE THE QUALITY AND IN LINE WTH THE STANDARD OF THE JOURNAL</p> <p>THE AUTHORS ARE ADVISED TO REVISE THE WHOLE MANUSCRIPT TO ENSURE QUALITY</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>THE LANGUAGE IS SUITABLE</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>THERE SHOULD BE AIM AND BRIEF METHODOLOGY</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sodah Muhammad Gwam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Niger State College of Agriculture, Nigeria</b>