

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111704</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on effect of different levels of nitrogen in combination with nano urea on quality parameters, seed parameters, available nitrogen in soil and nitrogen content in leaves of marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article entitled "Study on effect of different levels of nitrogen in combination with nano urea on quality parameters, seed parameters, available nitrogen in soil and nitrogen content in leaves of marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda", has fulfilled terms and conditions from a research perspective, and research results are useful for monitoring nitrogen content in soil and its effect on the ecosystem.</li> <li>2. I think the title given to the article is appropriate.</li> <li>3. There are several things that need to be corrected and explained further in the Abstract section, namely: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The abstract does not clearly describe the research problem that will be carried out (research question).</li> <li>• The methods and processes used have not been clearly described.</li> <li>• The results obtained in the research have not been described specifically, especially the improvement results obtained using the proposed method. It is better to use a percentage value to show the good performance improvement results of the proposed method compared to other methods or previous research.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. In my opinion, the structure of the manuscript meets the writing requirements</li> <li>5. In my opinion, the manuscript written is scientifically appropriate</li> <li>6. The references given in the manuscript are too minimal, it is best to add other supporting literature from various similar research results, this is to enrich the data and information to strengthen the research results.</li> </ol> <p>other improvement suggestions:</p> <p><b>Introductory Part:</b> It would be better if the introductory section shows the steps or stages of the research which are described in the form of a flowchart or workflow. Show the novelty of the research you conducted, as well as its connection to previous research.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Provide a more structured and simpler description that shows that the results of the research carried out are useful. Use a percentage value to show the superiority of the method used compared to observations or previous research results.</p> <p>Thank You...</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Please check again as a whole, especially the writing structure, thank you</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chairuddin, M.T.,M.M</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>STMIK IM, Indonesia</b>