

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_116629
Title of the Manuscript:	TAKO-TSUBO mimicking a myocardial infarction in a young man: clinical case with review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript contributes valuable insights into the diagnosis and management of Tako-Tsubo syndrome (TTS) The title "Case Report: Tako-Tsubo Syndrome Mimicking Acute Coronary Syndrome in a Man with Manic-Depressive Illness" effectively summarizes the key elements of the manuscript and accurately reflects its content. Abstract could be enhanced by briefly mentioning the significance of the case in the context of existing literature on TTS and its implications for clinical practice. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, with clear delineation of sections. clarification on certain points, such as the exact treatment protocol and long-term prognosis, could further strengthen the scientific validity of the manuscript. To enhance the comprehensiveness, additional references discussing the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management of TTS could be added. For example, recent studies on the role of cardiac imaging modalities in the diagnosis and prognosis of TTS could provide valuable insights for readers. <p>Additional Comments: Abstract: Define ACS (Acute Coronary Syndrome) upon first mention and briefly outline the diagnostic criteria for Tako-Tsubo syndrome (TTS). Introduction: Clarify the significance of TTS in relation to its differentiation from ACS, addressing its impact on patient management and prognosis. Include a sentence about TTS prevalence in the general population. Case Presentation: Explain the unique aspects of the case and its contribution to TTS literature. Provide a brief rationale for the treatment approach, particularly the initiation of IC treatment. Discussion: Ensure a clear transition from the introduction and summarize key points. Expand on prognosis and long-term outcomes of TTS compared to ACS. Discuss limitations and mechanisms proposed for TTS development, including coronary microvascular dysfunction and hormonal influences. Conclusion: Summarize main findings and implications of the case. Consider adding recommendations for future research or clinical practice.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are areas for improvement to enhance clarity and professionalism. Some sentences could be rephrased for better readability and precision. Additionally, attention to grammar, punctuation, and consistency in terminology would enhance the overall quality of the manuscript. Overall, with some refinement, the article would be well-suited for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Ensure consistency in terminology and abbreviations throughout the article. Check for grammatical errors and ensure clarity of expression in all sections. Provide references for any statistics or claims made in the article, such as the prevalence of TTS or the prognosis in different patient populations.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sobia Noor
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, Anwarul Uloom College of Pharmacy, India