

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJASS_112277   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT ON NEWSPAPER REPORTAGE ON JULIUS NYERERE HYDROPOWER PROJECT: A CASE OF MWANANCHI AND HABARILEO NEWSPAPERS |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but too worded. I suggested you reduce to 250</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The citations are sufficient though there too many old citations too</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>Yes</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>The author should look at the body of the work for corrections</p>   |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Etumnu Emeka Williams</b>         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Imo State University, Nigeria</b> |