

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Medicine and Health |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJMAH_118627 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF COMBINED INTRAMUSCULAR HYOSCINE AND VAGINAL MISOPROSTOL ON THE MEAN INDUCTION TO DELIVERY INTERVAL AMONG POST-DATE PREGNANT WOMEN IN FEDERAL MEDICAL CENTER OWERRI, NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Few are old references</p> | |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>Keywords - Effects, Women are not appropriate keywords</p> <p>Inclusion criteria - Post date pregnant women ? How many dates after EDD</p> <p>Exclusion criteria not written</p> <p>Table 4 - Case : 2 (2,3) Control 3 (2,3)</p> <p>Figures in the bracket are confusing</p> <p>Table 6 - Time in hours or minutes? Figures in bracket are confusing</p> <p>Discussion - Experimental group is not correct</p> <p>References - Many are more than 15 years old</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Purushottam B Jaju |
| Department, University & Country | Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India |