

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_118932
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF WORK-RELATED STRESS IN THE NIGERIAN PETROLEUM INDUSTRY
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I rearranged the abstract which attached in the e-mail .second kindly attach all the calculation which is outcome of figure -1.research method is enough research design is not necessary. Population and Sampling is enough participant is not necessary.sources is to lengthy delete those which is not need .</p> <p>2-yes</p> <p>3-no</p> <p>4- no</p> <p>5-yes</p> <p>6- some unnecessary sources must be deleted</p> <p>I rearranged the abstract which attached in the e-mail .second kindly attach all the calculation which is outcome of figure -1.research method is enough research design is not necessary. Population and Sampling is enough participant is not necessary.sources is to lengthy delete those which is not need .</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Seyed Agha Banihashemi
Department, University & Country	School of International Relation, Iran