

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_118773
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EXPERIENCES OF FILIPINO TEACHERS IN TEACHING READING IN THE NEW NORMAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Reading is a basic activity in the learning process of students for the sake of this research article.</p> <p>2. I consider that the title is appropriate. It is clear, precise, with concordance, coherence and cohesion.</p> <p>3. About the abstract I consider that they should include the objective clearly.</p> <p>4. If the structure of the manuscript is appropriate, I suggest adding discussion and acknowledgements.</p> <p>5. I do believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I suggest adding theories that support the teaching of reading. For example, constructivist theory, among others.</p> <p>I suggest the following keywords: Qualitative study, Teaching reading, Teaching strategies and phenomnal design. I suggest that a technological tool be used for the processing of data obtained through the interview. Add to each of the texts the bibliographic citation in case it is not authored. Avoid information obtained through Generative Artificial Intelligence. Avoid plagiarism of information.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the English language is suitable for academic communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I consider it to be an important investigation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonia Mireles Medina
Department, University & Country	Tecnologico Nacional de Mexico, Mexico