

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_118373</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERCEPTION OF BRUXISM HABIT IN CHILDREN AFTER COVID-19</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Cross-sectional Study (Original Article)</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Ans 1)</b> The study has a few limitations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Limited Sample Size:</b> The sample size of 65 participants may not be sufficient to draw generalized conclusions about the frequency of child bruxism post-COVID-19.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of Longitudinal Data:</b> The cross-sectional design limits the ability to accurately assess changes in bruxism habits over time.</li> <li>• <b>Subjective Perception Data:</b> Relying solely on parents' perceptions of their children's bruxism habits may introduce bias and inaccuracies.</li> <li>• <b>Limited Analysis of Psychological Factors:</b> A more in-depth analysis of psychological factors associated with bruxism development post-pandemic is needed.</li> <li>• <b>Lack of Comparative Analysis:</b> The study does not compare the prevalence of bruxism pre- and post-COVID-19, which could provide valuable insights.</li> </ul> <p><b>Ans 2)</b> Title should highlight Key aspects like "Changes in Perceptions of Childhood Bruxism After the COVID-19 Pandemic"</p> <p><b>Ans 3)</b> Yes, the abstract is Comprehensive</p> <p><b>Ans 4)</b> The Structure of the manuscript is followed correctly.</p> <p><b>Ans 5)</b> The manuscript appears to be scientifically structured and covers a relevant topic</p> <p><b>Ans 6)</b> References are updated and sufficient to support the manuscript</p> <p><b>Some Suggestions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clearly state how the questionnaire was validated.</li> <li>• Specify the sampling technique more clearly (e.g., "convenience sampling")</li> <li>• Explicitly state the limitations and suggest areas for further study.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language of the article is fairly communicable.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obaidullah Khan
Department, University & Country	Hamdard University, Pakistan