

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_118373
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION OF BRUXISM HABIT IN CHILDREN AFTER COVID-19
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the impact of COVID-19 on childhood bruxism, contributing valuable insights into pediatric dental health and the broader effects of pandemic-induced stress on children. The title of the article, "PERCEPTION OF BRUXISM HABIT IN CHILDREN AFTER COVID-19," is somewhat suitable but could be improved for clarity and specificity. Here are some title suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> "Parental Perception of Childhood Bruxism Three Years After the COVID-19 Pandemic" "Evaluating Changes in Childhood Bruxism Incidence Three Years After the Pandemic" "Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Parental Perception of Childhood Bruxism" "Childhood Bruxism: A Study on Post-Pandemic Frequency and Parental Awareness" Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study, including its objective, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. It effectively summarizes the research conducted on the frequency of child bruxism in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic, outlining the study's scope, methods, results, and implications. Yes, the manuscript appears to be well-structured with appropriate subsections. It includes sections such as Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, and References, which are commonly found in scientific manuscripts. These sections help organize the content logically and make it easier for readers to navigate through the study. Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears scientifically sound. It follows a systematic approach, including a clear methodology, data analysis, and references to relevant literature. The references provided seem relevant to the topic of child bruxism and its association with various factors, including the COVID-19 pandemic. If additional references are needed to strengthen specific points or provide further context, it may be beneficial to include them. For example, recent studies on the impact of the pandemic on pediatric health and psychological well-being could provide valuable insights into the observed trends in bruxism among children. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. The abstract, introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion sections are well-written and structured, indicating a good command of academic language. However, a thorough review by a language editor or proofreader may further enhance clarity and precision, ensuring that the manuscript meets the standards expected for scholarly publications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the frequency and perception of child bruxism in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. It effectively addresses relevant factors such as sleep disturbances, respiratory changes, and psychological stressors, contributing to the understanding of bruxism in pediatric populations. The inclusion of specific titles and additional references could further enhance the manuscript's clarity and relevance. Additionally, a careful proofreading may help refine the language and ensure consistency throughout the text.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jannatul Fardaous
Department, University & Country	Dhaka Dental College & Hospital, Bangladesh