

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_118550
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nano urea FYM and Rhizobium on Soil Properties after harvest crop of green gram. (Vigna radiata L.) var. PDM 139 Evaluation of green gram (Vigna radiata L. var PDM 139) cultivated and harvested soils supplemented with Nano Urea, farmyard manure and Rhizobium.
Type of the Article	Short note

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Evaluation of green gram (Vigna radiata L. var PDM 139) cultivated and harvested soils supplemented with Nano Urea, farmyard manure and Rhizobium.</p> <p>OK Yes, appropriate, but numbering must be corrected.</p> <p>Yes, there is control, treatments and replicates; statistical analysis is done.</p> <p>1. The objective of the research is not mentioned. 2. Materials and methods not explained in detail, numbering of subsections is improper. 3. Latest literature included some references are missing. 4. Results are not interpreted. 5. Conclusion is incorrect.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English was legitimate up to materials and methods, but not in results and discussion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest to the authors to read relevant papers and learn to interpret their data.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajya Lakshmi Y.V.
Department, University & Country	Marey Academy, Canada