

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_118960
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF BEEF CATTLE FARMING DEVELOPMENT FOR ENHANCING FOOD SECURITY AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN SIMALUNGUN REGENCY, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Importance for the Scientific Community The manuscript is undoubtedly important for the scientific community, especially those involved in agricultural economics, regional development, and food security. It provides insightful analysis into how beef cattle farming can be leveraged to enhance food security and drive regional development in Simalungun Regency, Indonesia. The study's use of Location Quotient (LQ) and SWOT analysis offers valuable methodologies for similar research in other regions, contributing to the broader field of sustainable agricultural practices and economic development. 2. Suitability of the Title The title of the article, "Analysis of Beef Cattle Farming Development for Enhancing Food Security and Regional Development in Simalungun Regency Indonesia," is quite suitable as it clearly reflects the main focus and geographical scope of the study. It succinctly informs the reader about the topic and the region of study, which is essential for attracting the right audience. 3. Comprehensiveness of the Abstract The abstract is comprehensive and well-structured. It effectively summarizes the study's objectives, methods, key findings, and potential impacts. By mentioning the use of LQ and SWOT methods, it gives a snapshot of the analytical framework, which is crucial for readers to understand the approach and potential replicability of the study in other contexts. 4. Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, with clear divisions between the introduction, methods, results, and discussion sections. However, enhancing clarity and readability could be achieved by adding more explicit subheadings, especially within the results and discussion sections to guide the reader through the analysis and implications of the findings. 5. Scientific Correctness The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct based on the information provided. The methods are appropriate for the study's goals, and the data analysis is detailed and seems to be executed rigorously. However, further scrutiny by subject matter experts during the peer-review process will be essential to fully validate the scientific claims and statistical methods used. 6. Sufficient and Recent References The references used are sufficient to support the study's framework and discussions. However, some of the references are quite dated, and the field could benefit from more current studies, particularly those published in the last five to ten years. Adding recent references could enhance the manuscript's relevance and provide a more contemporary context to the analysis. For example, more recent publications on the impact of agricultural practices on regional development or updated studies on food security strategies in Southeast Asia would be beneficial. <p>In conclusion, the manuscript is a valuable contribution to the literature on agricultural development and food security, with practical implications for regional economic planning. By updating and clarifying some sections, it could be an even stronger resource for academics, policymakers, and practitioners in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English in the manuscript is generally good and comprehensible.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Otávio Florentino Detoni
Department, University & Country	University of the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil