

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118439
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Production and Prices of Major Crops in Meghalaya: A Trend Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript appears to be an analysis of the growth performance and market trends of major crops like rice, potato, pineapple, ginger, rapeseed, mustard, and pea in the state of Meghalaya, India over the last decade (2010-2019). Such studies on agricultural production trends and marketing aspects can be useful for policymakers, agricultural economists, and researchers working on improving agricultural productivity and market linkages in the region.</p> <p>2. The title "Growth performance of major crops in Meghalaya: A Trend Analysis" seems suitable as the study focuses on analyzing the growth rates and trends in area, production, and productivity of major crops in Meghalaya state.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's aims, methodology, key findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>4. Yes, the structure and subsections of the manuscript seem appropriate and follow a logical flow</p> <p>5. While the statistical techniques used are standard, the details of the specific methods/models employed could have been explained more elaborately in the Methodology section. Also, the period of study (2010-2019) is reasonable, but a longer period analysis may provide more robust trend estimates. Furthermore, the selection criteria for the specific crops analyzed are not clearly justified, apart from stating they are major crops.</p> <p>6. The references provided in the manuscript seem sufficient in terms of covering relevant previous studies and sources of data/methodology. However, there is scope to include some more recent and pertinent references to further strengthen the literature review and discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In general, the language and English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication, but there is room for some improvement.</p> <p>Here are a few specific examples of areas that could be improved:</p> <p>a. " The area and productivity of rice showed a flatter increment, while production showed a greater increment as compared to area and productivity." Better phrasing: "The trend lines exhibited a relatively flatter increase for area and productivity of rice, whereas production displayed a steeper rise compared to area and productivity." (Pg. 2)</p> <p>b. "Both positive and inverse relationships observed indicate benefits during positive relationships and losses during inverse relationships." Better: "The observed positive and negative correlations imply that farmers gain profits when the relationship is positive but incur losses when it is negative." (Pg. 7)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taofeekat Temitope Nofiu
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria